



Tr.C.M.P.No.273 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10.07.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE V.BHAVANI SUBBAROYAN

Tr.C.M.P.No.273 of 2023

and

C.M.P.No.5951 of 2023

Umar

.. Petitioner

Versus

Kulanthaivel

.. Respondent

PRAYER: This Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition is filed under Section 24 of the Civil Procedure Code, to withdraw and transfer the case in H.M.O.P.No.110 of 2022 from the Subordinate Judge at Lalgudi to Family Court at Tiruppur.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Ganesh

For Respondent : Ms. R.Saritha

ORDER

This Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition has been filed to withdraw the case in H.M.O.P.No.110 of 2022 on the file of the Subordinate Judge at Lalgudi and transfer to the Family Court at Tiruppur.



Tr.C.M.P.No.273 of 2023

2. The marriage between the petitioner and the respondent was solemnised on 27.05.2018 as per the Hindu Rites and Customs. A male child was born from and out of the wedlock between the petitioner and the respondent and now living with the petitioner. On account of matrimonial home broke down, the petitioner and the respondent are living separately. Now the petitioner is residing along with her mother who is doing daily wage works. The respondent filed H.M.O.P No.110 of 2022 for dissolution of marriage before the learned Subordinate Judge, Lalgudi.

3. The principles regarding transfer petitions, more specifically in the matters of matrimonial cases, are well settled through the three decisions of the High Court of Madras, in the following cases:-

(i) The Hon'ble Division Bench of the High Court of Madras in ***W.A.No.1181 of 2009, dated 09.07.2010***, wherein in paragraphs-21 and 22, it has been observed as under:-

“21. The domicile or citizenship of the opposite party is immaterial in a case like this. In case the marriage was solemnized under Hindu Law marital relationship is governed by



the provisions of the Hindu Marriage Act. Therefore, Section 19 has to be given a purposeful interpretation. It is the residence of the wife, which determines the question of jurisdiction, in case the proceeding was initiated at the instance of the wife.

22. While considering a provision like Section 19 (iii-a) of the Hindu Marriage Act, the objects and reasons which prompted the parliament to incorporate such a provision has also to be taken note of. Sub Clause (iii-a) was inserted in Section 19 with a specific purpose. Experience is the best teacher. The Government found the difficulties faced by women in the matter of initiation of matrimonial proceedings. The report submitted by the Law Commission as well as National Commission for Women, underlying the need for such amendment so as to enable the women to approach the nearest jurisdictional court to redress their matrimonial grievances, were also taken note of by the Government. Therefore such a beneficial provision meant for the women of our Country should be given a meaningful interpretation by Courts.”



Tr.C.M.P.No.273 of 2023

WEB COPY

(ii) In yet another case in **Tr.CMP.Nos.138 and 139 of 2006**,
dated 30.08.2006, the High Court of Madras has considered the following
judgments of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India:-

*“(1) In the case of **Mona Aresh Goel vs. Aresh Satya Goel [(2000) 9 SCC 255]**, when the wife pleaded that she was unable to bear the traveling expenses and even to travel alone and stay at Bombay, the Supreme Court ordered transfer of proceedings.*

*(2) In the case of **Geeta Heera vs. Harish Chander Heera [(2000) 10 SCC 304]**, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that where the petitioner's wife has pleaded lack of money, the same has to be considered.*

*(3) In the case of **Lalita A.Ranga vs. Ajay Champalal Ranga [(2000) 9 SCC 355]**, the wife has filed a petition to transfer the proceedings initiated by the husband for divorce, at Bombay. The place of residence of the wife was at Jaipur, Rajasthan. In that case, the petitioner is having a small child and that she pleaded difficulty in going*



Tr.C.M.P.No.273 of 2023

all the way from Jaipur to Bombay to contest the proceedings from time to time. Considering the distance and the difficulties faced by the wife, the Supreme Court has allowed the transfer petition.

*(4) In a decision in **Archana Singh vs. Surendra Bahadur Singh [(2005) 12 SCC 395]**, the wife has sought for transfer of matrimonial proceedings and a divorce petition has been filed by the respondent's husband at Baikunthpur to be transferred to Allahabad, where the petitioner's wife was residing, on the ground that it would be difficult for her to undertake such long distance journey, particularly in circumstances, in which she finds that the proceedings under Section 125 Cr.P.C. was already pending before the Family Court, Allahabad. Considering the difficulties faced by the wife and also the long distance journey, the Honourable Supreme Court was pleased to order transfer of the proceedings to Allahabad.”*

(iii) In a decision made in **TR.CMP(MD)No.108 of 2010, dated 03.03.2011**, the Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, wherein in paragraph-18, it has been observed as below:-



Tr.C.M.P.No.273 of 2023

“18. It is true that section 19 of the Hindu Marriage Act, has been amended by insertion of proviso of (iii)(a) to section 19. Of Course, this amended section 19(iii)(a) gives special preference to the wife to file a petition or defending the case of the husband before the Court within whose jurisdiction she resides. The intention of the Legislator is to safe-guard the interest and rights of the women, who are being subjected to harassment and cruelty. But this special preference conferred under section 19(iii)(a) of the Hindu Marriage Act shall not be used to wreck vengeance on the husband. There must be a justifiable cause to select the jurisdiction of the Court where she resides.”

4. Considering the facts and circumstances, the H.M.O.P No.110 of 2022 pending on the file of the learned Subordinate Judge, Lalgudi, Trichy District stands transferred to the Subordinate Judge, Kangeyam, Tiruppur District forthwith. The Subordinate Court, Lalgudi, Trichy District is directed to transmit all the case papers to the Subordinate Court, Kangeyam, Tiruppur District within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.



Tr.C.M.P.No.273 of 2023

WEB COPY 5. With the abovesaid directions, the Transfer Civil Miscellaneous

Petition stands allowed. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

10.07.2023

kv

Index: Yes/No

Speaking order/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To

1. The Subordinate Judge, Lalgudi, Trichy District.
2. The Subordinate Judge, Kangeyam, Tiruppur District.



WEB COPY



Tr.C.M.P.No.273 of 2023

V.BHAVANI SUBBAROYAN,J.

Kv

Tr.C.M.P.No.273 of 2023

10.07.2023