



W.A.No.1453 of 2023

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10.07.2023

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.SANJAY V.GANGAPURWALA, CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.D.AUDIKEVALU

W.A.No.1453 of 2023

T.Malaithai

.. Appellant

Vs.

1. The Central Information Commissioner
Central Information Commission
R.No.326, C – Wing, 2nd Floor
August Kranthi Bhavan
Bhikaji Vcama Placa
New Delhi – 110 066.

2. The State Information Commissioner
Tamil Nadu Information Commission
No.2, Theagaraya Salai
Near Aalai Amman Koil
Teynampet, Chennai 600 018.

3. The Public Information Officer cum
Commissioner of Police
Palayamkottai, Thiruvvelveli.

.. Respondents

Prayer: Appeal filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the order passed in W.P.No.59 of 2018 dated 13.01.2020.

Page 1 of 6



W.A.No.1453 of 2023

WEB COPY

For the Appellant : Ms.R.S.Maitreya

For the Respondents : Mr.P.Muthukumar
State Government Pleader
assisted by Mrs.R.Anitha
Special Government Pleader
for R2 & R3

JUDGMENT

(Delivered by the Hon'ble Chief Justice)

Heard Ms.R.S.Maitreya, learned counsel for the appellant and Mr.P.Muthukumar, learned State Government Pleader assisted by Mrs.R.Anitha, learned Special Government Pleader for respondents 2 and 3.

2. The appellant is challenging the order passed by the learned Single Judge dismissing the writ petition, where, the petitioner / appellant is challenging the order passed by the State Information Commissioner, thereby rejecting the application of the petitioner / appellant for information.



W.A.No.1453 of 2023

WEB COPY

3. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant is independently entitled for the information under the provisions of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The reason given is erroneous.

4. The husband of the appellant has sought for the information in the year 2015 with regard to the selection process to the post of Police Constable Grade II, conducted in the year 1989-1990. The information was given that he had not duly passed the examination and that the other information sought by him are the informations relevant to the period 25 and 17 years ago and since the documents were destroyed by that time, the same could not be provided.

5. Now, again the wife asking the same information is certainly not warranted. The selection process had taken place thirty three years back.



W.A.No.1453 of 2023

WEB COPY

6. In the light of that, no error has been committed by the learned Single Judge. The writ appeal, as such, is dismissed. There will be no order as to costs.

(S.V.G., CJ.)

(P.D.A., J.)

10.07.2023

Index : Yes/No

Neutral Citation : Yes/No

drm



W.A.No.1453 of 2023

WEB COPY

To

1. The Central Information Commissioner
Central Information Commission
R.No.326, C – Wing, 2nd Floor
August Kranthi Bhavan
Bhikaji Vcama Placa
New Delhi – 110 066.
2. The State Information Commissioner
Tamil Nadu Information Commission
No.2, Theagaraya Salai
Near Aalai Amman Koil
Teynampet, Chennai 600 018.
3. The Public Information Officer cum
Commissioner of Police
Palayamkottai, Thiruvvelveli.



WEB COPY



W.A.No.1453 of 2023

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
P.D.AUDIKESAVALU, J.

(drm)

W.A.No.1453 of 2023

10.07.2023