



THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 08.11.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.SUBRAMANIAN
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N.SENTHILKUMAR

A.S.No.344 of 2018
and
C.M.P.Nos.8562 and 8563 of 2018

S.Suganthi

...Appellant

Vs.

1.S.Vasanthi

2.P.K.Sivakumar

...Respondents

Prayer: Appeal Suit filed under Section 96 of the Code of Civil Procedure to set aside the un-allowed portion of the judgment and the preliminary decree of partition dated 19.04.2017 in O.S.No.69 of 2015 on the file of III Additional District Court, Salem.

For Appellant : No appearance
For Respondents : Mr.P.Jagadeesan



J U D G M E N T

WEB COPY

(Judgment of the Court was delivered by **R.SUBRAMANIAN, J.**)

Originally the appeal was filed by M/s.R.Neelakandan and N.Santhi, learned counsel. Subsequently, M/s.K.Selvaraj and Sugirdha Rathna, learned counsel have come on record on change of vakalat. The said learned counsel have filed a memo on 23.08.2023 stating that the appellant have taken back the papers from them on the said date.

2. Though more than two months have lapsed, no new counsel have entered appearance. Hence, it is clear that the appellant is not interested in prosecuting the appeal. In view of the same, this appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

(R.S.M.,J.) (N.S.,J.)
08.11.2023

dsa
Index :No
Internet :Yes
Neutral Citation :No
Non-Speaking order



To

The III Additional District Court,
Salem.

A.S.No.344 of 2





WEB COPY

A.S.No.344 of 2



R.SUBRAMANIAN, J.
and
N.SENTHILKUMAR, J.

dsa

A.S.No.344 of 2018

08.11.2023