



W.P.No.6449 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03.03.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. RAMESH

W.P.No.6449 of 2023

L.Kishore Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs

1.The Principal Secretary,
Revenue and Disaster
Management Department,
Chennai Division,
Legislature and Secretariat Building,
Fort St. George, Rajaji Road,
Chennai, Tamil Nadu - 600 009.

2.Assistant Director of Survey
& Land Records,
Central Survey Office,
Survey House, Chepauk,
Chennai - 600 005.

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents (i) to complete the disciplinary proceedings of the petitioner in accordance with G.O.(Ms) No.81, dated 04.08.2022 within a time frame, (ii) to consider the representation dated 07.08.2022 seeking for revocation of



W.P.No.6449 of 2023

the order of suspension R.C.A5/0592/2022 dated 11.03.2022 or reinstatement to a non-sensitive post within a time frame.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Krishnamoorthy

For Respondents : M/s.E.Indhumathi,
Government Advocate

ORDER

With the consent of both the parties, this Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2. On the ground of prolonged suspension, the petitioner seeks for interference to the suspension order through the present Writ Petition.

3. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the case of *Ajay Kumar Choudhary v. Union of India*, reported in (2015) 7 SCC 291, had set certain guidelines with regard to prolonged suspension. On a reference made by me, the Hon'ble Full Bench of this Court, in the case of *P.Kannan Vs. Commissioner of Municipal Administration and others* reported in (2022) 2 CTC 353, had held that the judgement of the Apex



W.P.No.6449 of 2023

Court, in the case of *Ajay Kumar Choudhary (supra)*, does not lay down an absolute proposition of law that an order of suspension cannot be continued beyond the period of three months on the circumstances mentioned therein and that the order of suspension should be analysed on the facts of each case, considering the gravity of charges and the Rules applicable. It was further held that the revocation of the suspension with a direction to the employer to post the delinquent in a non-sensitive post, as held in *Ajay Kumar Choudhary's case (supra)*, also cannot be endorsed or directed as a matter of course.

4. In line with the orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as well as the Hon'ble Full Bench of this Court, the Government, in G.O.(Ms) No.81, Human Resources Management (N) Department, dated 04.08.2022, had stipulated a compendium of instructions for review of suspension orders in matter which are pending enquiry into grave charges.

5. On consideration of these instructions in G.O.(Ms) No.81, this Court is of the view that the respondents herein could be directed to



W.P.No.6449 of 2023

WEB COPY

review the order of suspension, in accordance with the guidelines issued thereunder.

6. In the light of the above findings, there shall be a direction to the first respondent herein to consider the petitioner's representation dated 07.08.2022, seeking for revocation of the suspension order, in accordance with the instructions issued by the Government in G.O.(Ms).No.81, dated 04.08.2022, and pass appropriate orders, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy this order.

7. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs.

03.03.2023

Index: Yes/No

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

Speaking order/Non-speaking order

hvk



W.P.No.6449 of 2023

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Principal Secretary,
Revenue and Disaster Management Department,
Chennai Division,
Legislature and Secretariat Building,
Fort St. George, Rajaji Road,
Chennai, Tamil Nadu - 600 009.

- 2.Assistant Director of Survey & Land Records,
Central Survey Office,
Survey House, Chepauk,
Chennai - 600 005.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.6449 of 2023

M.S.RAMESH,J.

hvk

W.P.No.6449 of 2023

03.03.2023