



WEB COPY



W.P. No. 6115 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 20.04.2023

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.D. AUDIKESAVALU

W.P. No. 6115 of 2023

R.Vanisri

... Petitioner

-VS-

1 The Director of school Education
D.P.I.Compound College Road
Chennai - 600 006.

2 The Joint Director of School
Education (Personnel Division) D.P.I.
Compound College Road Chennai- 600 006.

3 Chief Educational officer
Nilgiris District- 643 001.

... Respondents

Prayer:- Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, 1950, praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus quash the impugned order passed by the 2nd Respondent through his proceeding vide Mu. Mu. No. 56253/ J /E3/ 2020 dated 10.03.2021 and further directing the First to Third Respondents to appoint the Petitioner in a suitable post under the compassionate Appointment.



WEB COPY



W.P. No. 6115 of 2023

For Petitioner : Mr. V.Srinivasan
For Respondents : Mr. B.Vijay,
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

Heard Mr. V.Srinivasan, Learned Counsel for the Petitioner and Mr. B.Vijay, Learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the Respondents and perused the materials placed on record, apart from the pleadings of the parties.

2. The father of the Petitioner, viz., N.Ramalingan, who was employed as Office Assistant in the Government Higher Secondary School, Dhavani, Nilgiris District, died in harness on 23.10.2010 leaving behind the following legal heirs as per the Legal Heirship Certificate produced by the Petitioner:-

S. No.	Name of the Legal Heir	Relationship with the deceased employee	Age as on 23.10.2010
1.	Tmt. Neelavathy	Wife	47
2.	Tmt. Santhi	Daughter	31
3.	Tmt. Vanisri	Daughter	28
4.	Thiru. Rajesh	Son	26

It is borne out from the materials placed on record that the younger brother of the Petitioner, viz., R.Rajesh, had made an application for compassionate



W.P. No. 6115 of 2023

appointment, but as he suffered from psychiatric illness and was under treatment, which made him unfit to do any kind of work, the same was not considered. Thereafter, the Petitioner had made an application for compassionate appointment, which was received by the Third Respondent, who had forwarded it to the First Respondent by Proceedings in Na. Ka. No. 0133/A1/2019 dated __10.2019, but the Third Respondent by Proceedings in Moo. Mu. No. 0133/A1/2019 dated 23.11.2020 had rejected that claim against which the Petitioner had preferred appeal, which was disposed by Proceedings in Moo. Mu. No. 56253/J/E3/2020 dated 10.03.2021 passed by the Second Respondent holding that compassionate appointment could not be granted after a reasonable period specified in the rules, which is challenged in this Writ Petition.

3. Having due regard to the nature of controversy involved, it must be recapitulated that the legal position is well settled that the claim for compassionate appointment is an exception to Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution which guarantees equality of opportunity to all eligible citizens to participate in the selection for any public employment. Appointment on the mere ground of descent, would be a direct infraction of Article 16 of the Constitution. The exception on which compassionate appointment is sustained



W.P. No. 6115 of 2023

is for the reason that it seeks to tide over the sudden crisis in the family of the deceased bread winner. The principles governing compassionate appointment have been succinctly explicated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in ***State of West Bengal -vs- Debabrata Tiwari*** (Order dated 03.03.2023 in Civil Appeal Nos. 8842 to 8855 of 2022) in the following words:-

“7.2. On consideration of the aforesaid decisions of this Court, the following principles emerge:-

- (i) That a provision for compassionate appointment makes a departure from the general provisions providing for appointment to a post by following a particular procedure of recruitment. Since such a provision enables appointment being made without following the said procedure, it is in the nature of an exception to the general provisions and must be resorted to only in order to achieve the stated objectives, i.e., to enable the family of the deceased to get over the sudden financial crisis.*
- (ii) Appointment on compassionate grounds is not a source of recruitment. The reason for making such a benevolent scheme by the State or the public sector undertaking is to see that the dependants of the deceased are not deprived of*



WEB COPY



W.P. No. 6115 of 2023

the means of livelihood. It only enables the family of the deceased to get over the sudden financial crisis.

- (iii) Compassionate appointment is not a vested right which can be exercised at any time in future. Compassionate employment cannot be claimed or offered after a lapse of time and after the crisis is over.*
- (iv) That compassionate appointment should be provided immediately to redeem the family in distress. It is improper to keep such a case pending for years.*
- (v) In determining as to whether the family is in financial crisis, all relevant aspects must be borne in mind including the income of the family, its liabilities, the terminal benefits if any, received by the family, the age, dependency and marital status of its members, together with the income from any other source.*

7.3. The object underlying a provision for grant of compassionate employment is to enable the family of the deceased employee to tide over the sudden crisis due to the death of the bread-earner which has left the family in penury and without any means of livelihood. Out of pure humanitarian consideration and



W.P. No. 6115 of 2023

WEB COPY

having regard to the fact that unless some source of livelihood is provided, the family would not be in a position to make both ends meet, a provision is made for giving gainful appointment to one of the dependants of the deceased who may be eligible for such appointment. Having regard to such an object, it would be of no avail to grant compassionate appointment to the dependants of the deceased employee, after the crisis which arose on account of death of a bread-winner, has been overcome. Thus, there is also a compelling need to act with a sense of immediacy in matters concerning compassionate appointment because on failure to do so, the object of the scheme of compassionate would be frustrated. Where a long lapse of time has occurred since the date of death of the deceased employee, the sense of immediacy for seeking compassionate appointment would cease to exist and thus lose its significance and this would be a relevant circumstance which must weigh with the authorities in determining as to whether a case for the grant of compassionate appointment has been made out for consideration.”

In view of the said legal position, it cannot be said that the Petitioner was suffering from any hardship, especially when she was herself aged about 28



W.P. No. 6115 of 2023

years at the time of demise of her father and she ought to have got herself suitably employed by then and nothing prevented her from applying for recruitment to any post depending upon her competence. It is apparent that the family of the deceased employee has been able to tide over the crisis with the passage of time and if any indulgence is now shown after 12 years from the time of death of the deceased employee brushing aside the germane aspects highlighted supra, it would unwittingly tantamount to unduly favouring the Petitioner to the detriment of other citizens whose gravity of suffering may be comparatively of higher magnitude, which cannot be countenanced. Viewed from that perspective, there does not appear to be any infirmity in the impugned order passed by the Second Respondent requiring interference by this Court in the exercise of discretionary powers of judicial review of the decision-making process under Article 226 of the Constitution.

4. Though obvious, it is clarified that refusal by the Court to entertain this Writ Petition shall not be construed as precluding the Petitioner, if she is otherwise eligible and not disqualified, from participating in any recruitment for public appointment following the prescribed procedure.



W.P. No. 6115 of 2023

In the result, the Writ Petition is dismissed with the aforesaid observations. No costs.

20.04.2023

kst

Index: Yes/No

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

Note: Issue order copy by 26.02.2024.

To

- 1 The Director of school Education
D.P.I.Compound College Road,
Chennai - 600 006.
- 2 The Joint Director of School
Education (Personnel Division) D.P.I.
Compound College Road Chennai- 600 006.
- 3 Chief Educational officer
Nilgiris District- 643 001.



WEB COPY



W.P. No. 6115 of 2023

P.D. AUDIKESAVALU, J.

kst

W.P. No. 6115 of 2023

20.04.2023