



Crl.O.P.No.4581 of 2023

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 01.03.2023

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE T.V. THAMILSELVI

Crl.O.P.No.4581 of 2023

Pulimootai Rajesh @ Rajesh,
S/o.Sekar

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
R-10 MGR Nagar Police Station,
Chennai.
(Crime No.564 of 2022)
Respondent

...

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 439 of Criminal Procedure Code to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.564 of 2022 pending on the file of respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Pandian

For Respondent : Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar,
Govt. Advocate (Crl.Side.)



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.4581 of 2023

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 17.01.2023 for the alleged offence under Sections 341, 294(b), 307, 336, 506(ii) of I.P.C. in Crime No.564 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of prosecution is that on 15.12.2022, around 10.00 a.m., when the defacto complainant went to meet his friends and standing in the area, the petitioner along with other accused said to have suddenly came and attacked the defacto complainant with knife and escaped from the spot, thereby caused grievous injury to him on his head and he was admitted in hospital for treatment. Hence, the complaint was registered against the petitioner.

3. The learned counsel appearing for petitioner submitted that the entire allegation is false, vindictive, wanton and he is no way connected with the offence. He would submit that he has not at all committed any of



Crl.O.P.No.4581 of 2023

WEB COPY

offence as alleged by the respondent police and due to previous enmity, he has been falsely implicated in this case and he will abide by any condition that may be imposed by this court. He would further submit that the investigation is almost completed and that the petitioner has been suffering incarceration for more than 42 days from 17.01.2023. Hence, he prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for respondent would submit that there are 10 previous cases including one case under Sec.379 of I.P.C. pending against him. He would submit that on the date of occurrence, the petitioner waylaid the defacto complainant and assaulted him with knife, thereby he sustained head injuries and he was admitted in hospital for treatment and subsequently, he was discharged from hospital. He would submit that that if he is released on bail, he would tamper the witnesses and hamper the investigation and the investigation is almost completed. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the above facts and circumstances, and also



CrI.O.P.No.4581 of 2023

considering the fact that the investigation almost completed and the fact that the injured discharged from the hospital and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each, **in which one surety must be a blood surety**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned XXIII Metropolitan Magistrate, Saidapet, Chennai**, and on further conditions that:

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall stay at Sivagangai District and report before the Town Police Station, Sivagangai daily at 10.30 a.m. for period of six weeks and thereafter he shall report before the respondent police on every Sunday at 10.30 a.m. for another period of six weeks;



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.4581 of 2023

(c) the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala*** [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

(g) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

01.03.2023

rpp



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.4581 of 2023

To

1. The XXIII Metropolitan Magistrate,
Saidapet, Chennai.
2. Inspector of Police,
R-10 MGR Nagar Police Station,
Chennai.
3. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison-II, Puzhal.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras, Chennai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.4581 of 2023

T.V. THAMILSELVI, J.

rpp

Crl.O.P.No. 4581 of 2023

01.03.2023