



W.P.No.6599 of 2018

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27.07.2023

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.SANJAY V.GANGAPURWALA, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.D.AUDIKESAVALU

W.P.No.6599 of 2018

M.Gnanathiraviam

.. Petitioner

-VS-

1. The Assistant Commissioner
Division – 9
Corporation of Chennai
Nungambakkam
Chennai – 600024.
2. The Commissioner
Corporation of Chennai
Chennai – 600003.
3. The Member Secretary
Chennai Metropolitan Development Authority
Thalamuthu Natarajan Maligai
No.1, Gandhi Irvin Road
Egmore, Chennai – 600008.
4. The Deputy Commissioner
Corporation of Chennai
Zone Central
No.12-B, Pulla Avenue
Thiru-Vi-Ka Park
Shenoy Nagar, Chennai – 600030.
5. Thirumalaisamy
6. T.Dhanabakkiam



W.P.No.6599 of 2018

7. The Thasildhar
Mylapore – Triplicane Taluk
No.28, Ground Floor
Pasumpon Muthuramaligam Road
RA Puram, Chennai – 600028.

8. The Secretary
Housing & Urban Development Departments
Secretariat, Chennai.

Respondents

..

Prayer: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondents 1 to 4 to take necessary action against the respondents 5 and 6, who have made illegal construction in the Government land comprised in Survey No. 3759, which has been classified as water channel.

For the Petitioner : No appearance

For the Respondents : Mr.N.C.Ramesh, SC, (RR1, 2 & 4)
Mr.C.N.Vinobha, SC (R3)
Mr.L.Chandrakumar (RR5 & 6)
Mrs.R.Anitha, SGP (RR7 & 8)

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by The Hon'ble Chief Justice)

We have heard Mr.N.C.Ramesh, learned Standing Counsel, for respondents 1, 2 and 4; Mr.C.N.Vinobha, learned Standing Counsel, for respondent 3; Mr.L.Chandrakumar, learned counsel, for respondents 5 and 6 and Mrs.R.Anitha, learned Special Government Pleader, for respondents 7 and 8.



W.P.No.6599 of 2018

2. None appears for the petitioner, when the matter is called out.

WEB COPY

3. Learned Special Government Pleader for respondents 7 and 8, on instructions, submits that the survey was conducted and further action is initiated.

4. Learned Advocate for respondents 5 and 6 submits that action was taken against respondents 5 and 6 with regard to the construction and respondents 5 and 6 have preferred an appeal against the same.

5. In view of the fact that the matter is sub judice in appeal now, no further orders are necessary in the present writ petition. As such, the writ petition stands disposed of. Depending upon the decision in the appeal, the parties may take further steps. No costs.

(S.V.G., CJ.)

(P.D.A., J.)


27.07.2023

Index : yes/no

Neutral Citation : Yes/No

Maya

Page 3 of 5



1. The Assistant Commissioner
Division – 9
Corporation of Chennai
Nungambakkam
Chennai – 600024.
2. The Commissioner
Corporation of Chennai
Chennai – 600003.
3. The Member Secretary
Chennai Metropolitan Development Authority
Thalamuthu Natarajan Maligai
No.1, Gandhi Irvin Road
Egmore, Chennai – 600008.
4. The Deputy Commissioner
Corporation of Chennai
Zone Central
No.12-B, Pulla Avenue
Thiru-Vi-Ka Park
Shenoy Nagar, Chennai – 600030.
5. The Thasildhar
Mylapore – Triplicane Taluk
No.28, Ground Floor
Pasumpon Muthuramaligam Road
RA Puram, Chennai – 600028.
6. The Secretary
Housing & Urban Development Departments
Secretariat, Chennai.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.6599 of 2018

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
P.D.AUDIKESAVALU, J.

(Maya)

W.P.No.6599 of 2018

27.07.2023