



WP.No.25487 of 2012

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated: 05.01.2023

Coram:

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE J.NISHA BANU

**W.P. No.25487 of 2012
and M.P.No.1 of 2012**

R.Muniammal

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Collector
Dharmapuri District.

2.The Commissioner
Dharmapuri Panchayath Union
Dharmapuri District.

3.The Block Development Officer
Dharmapuri Panchayath Union
Dharmapuri District.

4.Sumathy

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, call for the entire records of the 1st respondent relating to the impugned order made in Na.Ka.No.11393/2012/Ex-1 dated Nil and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the 1st respondent herein to consider the representation



WP.No.25487 of 2012

of the petitioner dated 28.08.2012 for the post of Centre Organiser, Puratchi Thalaivar Dr.MGR Nutrition Food Plan.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Venkatesan
For Respondents : Mr.Arun, AAG
assisted by Mr.S.Ravichandran, AGP
for RR1 to 3
Mr.R.Sankarasubbu for R4

ORDER

The petitioner has filed the present writ petition seeking to quash the impugned order dated 20.08.2012, whereby, one R.Sumathi, resident of 1/148, Tamil Nadu Housing Board, Vennampatti Road, Dharmapuri, was appointed as Noon Meal Centre Organiser. The petitioner further seeks for a direction to the first respondent to consider her representation dated 28.08.2012 for the post of Centre Organiser, Puratchi Thalaivar Dr.MGR Nutrition Food Plan.

2. In response to the advertisement calling for application to the post of Noon Meal Centre Organiser in the Puratchi Thalaivar MGR Nutrition Food Scheme, the petitioner filed an application to the first respondent on



WP.No.25487 of 2012

04.06.2012 along with proof of documents and requested to consider her case for appointment as her husband is an ex-army personnel and coming under Disability category.

3. The grievance of the petitioner is that the respondents 1 to 3 did not follow reservation and when the petitioner is fully eligible to the post of Noon Meal Centre Organiser, the first respondent, without considering her candidature, appointed 4th respondent vide impugned order, which is not in accordance with reservation principles. Therefore, the petitioner made a representation 28.08.2012 to consider her case for appointment to the post of Noon Meal Organiser.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner relied on G.O.Ms.No.1218 Public (Ex-servicemen) Department dated 03.09.1999 whereby it is stated that the State Government has been directed to conduct a “Special Drive” to clear all the pending applications from dependants of defence personnel killed/disabled who have applied for compassionate appointment within three months.



WP.No.25487 of 2012

WEB COPY

To

1.The District Collector
Dharmapuri District.

2.The Commissioner
Dharmapuri Panchayath Union
Dharmapuri District.

3.The Block Development Officer
Dharmapuri Panchayath Union
Dharmapuri District.



WEB COPY



WP.No.25487 of 2012

J.NISHA BANU, J.,

Jer/nvsri

Writ Petition No.25487 of 2012

05.01.2023

Page No.6/6