



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.272 of 2023

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS**

**DATED: 22.02.2023**

**CORAM**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM**

**Crl.Rc.No.272 of 2023**

Dhivya Lokesh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State rep by the Inspector of Police,  
P.E.W.Madhuranthakam Police Station,  
Chengalpattu District.  
Crime No.433/2022

...Respondent

**PRAYER:** Criminal Revision Petition filed under Section 397 r/w 401 of Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 to set aside the order dated 12.01.2023 made in Crl.MP No.3892/2022 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate No.1, Madhuranthakam, Chengalpattu District and to return the vehicle Volks Wagan Car bearing registration No.KA-05-MT-1539 to the petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Sasikumar

For Respondent : Mr.V.Meganathan

Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



Crl.R.C.No.272 of 2023

WEB COPY

### **ORDER**

This Criminal Revision Petition has been filed to set aside the order dated 12.01.2023 made in Crl.MP No.3892/2022 by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Madhuranthakam, Chengalpattu District and to return the Volks Wagan Car bearing registration No.KA-05-MT-1539 to the petitioner/ owner of vehicle.

2. It is the case of the petitioner that, she is the owner of Volks Wagan Car bearing registration No.KA-05-MT-1539; and a case in Crime No.433/2022 was registered for the offences punishable Sections 4(1)(aaa), 4(1-A) and 7(a) of Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act r/w Section 7 and 11 of Tamil Nadu Restricted Sprit Rules 2000 against three known persons alleging that they transported 27000 litters of illicit arrack; and in that crime, her vehicle was seized by the respondent police. Further, the petitioner filed a petition in Crl.M.P.No.3892/2022 to return the vehicle, however, it was dismissed by the Trial Court, vide order dated 12.01.2023. Aggrieved over the same, this Criminal Revision Case has been filed.



Crl.R.C.No.272 of 2023

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that, the petitioner's husband was arrested in the above Crime No.433/2022 on 29.05.2022 and the respondent police, falsely implicated her husband and the car in this case, as it was used for transporting illicit arrack. Hence, the impugned order passed by the Trial Court may be set aside and the respondent may be directed to return the vehicle to the interim custody of the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that, pending petition before the Trial Court, the respondent police, initiated confiscation proceedings. He further submitted that the respondent had issued show cause notice to the petitioner and since confiscation proceedings is pending, the Trial Court has dismissed the petition. Hence, the order passed by the Trial Court does not warrant any interference by this Court and pleaded for dismissal of the Criminal Revision Case.



Crl.R.C.No.272 of 2023

WEB COPY

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (crl.Side) and I have perused the materials on record.

6. It is an admitted fact that, pending petition in Crl.M.P. No.3892/2022 before the Trial Court, confiscation proceedings has been initiated and show cause notice was also sent to the petitioner. Therefore, this Court is of the view that the Trial Court has rightly dismissed the petition filed by the petitioner to return the vehicle, since the confiscation proceedings is already initiated. As such, the impugned order passed by the Trial Court does not warrant any interference by this Court.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Revision Case is dismissed. However, the petitioner is at liberty to appear before the Confiscation Authority seeking remedy.

**22.02.2023**

Index: Yes/No  
Internet: Yes/No  
mst

4 of 6



Crl.R.C.No.272 of 2023

WEB COPY

**To**

1. Judicial Magistrate No.1, Maduranthagam.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Madras High Court.



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.272 of 2023

**V.SIVAGNANAM, J.,**

mst

**Crl.RC No.272 of 2023**

**22.02.2023**