



WEB COPY



W.P.No.21259 of 2013

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20.10.2023

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE D.KRISHNAKUMAR

and

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

W.P.No.21259 of 2013 and

M.P.No.1 of 2013

1. Union of India, rep. by the General Manager,
Southern Railway, Park Town,
Chennai 600 003.
2. The Senior Divisional Personnel Officer,
Southern Railway, Madurai Division,
Madurai.
3. The Senior Divisional Finance Manager,
Southern Railway, Madurai Division,
Madurai.

... Petitioners

Vs.

1. The Registrar,
Central Administrative Tribunal,
Madras Bench, Chennai 600 104.
2. A.Xavier
3. Irwin Patrick Vieyra
4. R.Muniasamy
5. M.Kuttalingam



W.P.No.21259 of 2013

6. J.N.Fernandez

7. S.Sugavanam

8. T.K.Rengasamy

9. Gnanakkan

10. K.Thiagarajan

11. Conrad Fitzgerald

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking to issue a Writ of Certiorari calling for the entire records of the first respondent with regard to the order dated 19.03.2012 passed in O.A.No.996/2011 and quash the same.

For petitioners : Mr.P.T.Ramkumar, Standing Counsel

For Respondents : Mr.S.Ramaswamy Raja Rajan

for R2 to R6 and R8 to R11

R7- steps due to bring on record.

ORDER

(Order of the Court was delivered by D.KRISHNAKUMAR, J.)

Challenging the order dated 19.03.2012 passed in O.A.No.996/2011, dated 19.03.2012, in and by which, the appellants herein were directed to *grant the benefit of 55% of pay element for pensionary benefits to the respondents 2 to 11*, this writ petition has been filed.



W.P.No.21259 of 2013

2. The brief facts leading to the filing of the present writ petition is as follows.

The respondents 2 to 11 were initially appointed as Fireman 'A' and subsequently, they have worked as Goods Drivers, Passenger Drivers, Mail Drivers, PRCs and then they were promoted as Loco/Senior Loco Inspectors. According to them, they were appointed in running category and posted to work in the stationary post of Loco/Senior Loco Inspectors and hence, in terms of Rule 1514 & 1515 of Indian Railway Establishment Code Volume-I (Annexure A-2), Loco Inspectors are entitled for running allowance. Therefore, their pensionary benefits should be calculated by adding 55% of the pay elements. However, the petitioners herein had not considered their representations and hence, they had filed the above original application before the Tribunal. The Tribunal, by taking into account the orders passed by the Tribunal in similar matters in O.A.No.679/2011, O.A.No.294/2011 and O.A.Nos.676, 1188 and 1189/2010, had granted the above benefits to the respondents, subject to the outcome of SLP(C) No.11805 to 11808/2009, pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Challenging the above order, the Department had filed the present writ



W.P.No.21259 of 2013

petition.

WEB COPY

3. The learned Standing Counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that, in similar matters, the orders passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal was challenged before the Delhi High Court by filing writ petitions and the same were dismissed. As against the orders passed by the Delhi High Court in W.P.(C) No.8363/2007 etc., dated 18.09.2008, an appeal in SLP (C) No.11805/2009 along with other cases were filed by the Department before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, and the same were disposed of, vide order dated 29.04.2015, by granting permission to the Department to approach the Delhi High Court by way of review petitions. Accordingly, the Department had filed the Review Petition Nos.377/15 in W.P.(C) 2937/2007; 396/2015 in W.P.(C) 8363/207 etc. and the same were dismissed by the Delhi High Court on 09.10.2015. As against the above orders, Civil Appeal Nos.3110/2016 etc., had been filed before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the same were dismissed on 22.02.2023. He has also placed the copy of the i) orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP (C) Nos.11805/2009, etc., dated 29.04.2015;



W.P.No.21259 of 2013

ii) orders passed by the Delhi High Court in Review Petition Nos.377/2015 in W.P.No.2937/205; 328/2015 in W.P.(C) 8363/2007 etc., dated 09.10.2015; iii) and the orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal Nos.3110/2016 etc., dated 22.02.2023.

4. It is to be noted that, while passing the impugned order, the Tribunal had granted the benefits to the respondents, subject to the outcome of SLP (c) Nos.11805 to 11808/2009, pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Subsequently, the Hon'ble Supreme Court had disposed the above said Special leave petitions by granting permission to approach the Delhi High Court. Accordingly, the Department had filed review petitions and the same were dismissed by the Delhi High Court. Further, as against the dismissal order passed in the review petitions, Civil Appeals (as stated supra) had also been filed and the same were also dismissed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide order dated 22.02.2023.

5. Inview of the above, the impugned orders passed by the Tribunal, which was challenged in the writ petition has reached finality and hence, we have no hesitation to conclude that the impugned orders passed by the



W.P.No.21259 of 2013

Tribunal does not warrant any interference by this Court. Therefore, there is no merits in the writ petition and the same is liable to be dismissed.

6. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

(D.K.K.J.)

(P.D.B.J.)

20.10.2023

(1/4)

Internet: Yes/No

Index : Yes/No

mst

To

1. The Registrar,
Central Administrative Tribunal,
Madras Bench, Chennai 600 104.
2. The General Manager, Union of India,
Southern Railway, Park Town, Chennai 600 003.
3. The Senior Divisional Personnel Officer,
Southern Railway, Madurai Division, Madurai.
4. The Senior Divisional Finance Manager,
Southern Railway, Madurai Division, Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.21259 of 2013

D.KRISHNAKUMAR, J.

and

P. DHANABAL, J.

mst

W.P.No.21259 of 2013

20.10.2023
(1/4)