



Crl.O.P.No.3429 of 2023

WEB COPY

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS**

Dated : 27.02.2023

CORAM :

**THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE T.V. THAMILSELVI**

Crl.O.P.No.3429 of 2023

Prakash @ Pura Prakash,  
S/o.Velu

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State rep. by  
The Inspector of Police,  
H-6 R.K.Nagar Police Station,  
Chennai.  
(Crime No.26 of 2023)

... Respondent

**PRAYER:** Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 439 of Criminal Procedure Code to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.26 of 2023 pending on the file of respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Illiyas

For Respondent : Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar,  
Govt. Advocate (Crl.Side.)



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.3429 of 2023

## **ORDER**

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 14.01.2023 for the alleged offence under Sections 399, 402 of I.P.C. in Crime No.26 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of prosecution is that on 14.01.2023 around 09.00 hrs., when the respondent police was on routine checkup, at that time, the petitioner along with other accused attempted to commit dacoity in the scene of occurrence area with knife and iron rod. Hence, the complaint was registered against the petitioner.

3. The learned counsel appearing for petitioner submitted that the entire allegation is false, vindictive, wanton and he is no way connected with the offence. He would submit that he has not at all committed any of offence as alleged by the respondent police and due to previous enmity, he has been falsely implicated in this case and he will abide by any condition that may be imposed by this court. He would further submit that the investigation is almost completed and that the petitioner has been



Crl.O.P.No.3429 of 2023

WEB COPY

suffering incarceration for more than 43 days from 14.01.2023. Hence, he prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for respondent would submit that totally, there are 9 accused involved in this case and he is arrayed as A3. He would submit that there are 6 previous cases similar in nature pending against him. He would submit that on the date of occurrence, when the respondent police was on routine checkup, the petitioner along with other accused attempted to commit robbery with knife and iron rod, thereby they were arrested. He would submit that that if he is released on bail, he would tamper the witnesses and hamper the investigation and the investigation is almost completed. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the above facts and circumstances, and also considering the fact that the investigation almost completed and on considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.3429 of 2023

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each, **in which one surety must be a blood surety**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned XV Metropolitan Magistrate, George Town, Chennai**, and on further conditions that:

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;

**(b) the petitioner shall stay at Bhavani and report before the Town Police Station, Bhavani daily at 10.30 a.m. for the period of eight weeks and thereafter, he shall report before respondent police on alternative days at 10.30 a.m. for another period of eight weeks;**

(c) the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



Crl.O.P.No.3429 of 2023

WEB COPY

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala*** [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

(g) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

**27.02.2023**

rpp

To

1. The XV Metropolitan Magistrate,  
George Town, Chennai.
2. Inspector of Police,  
H6 R.K. Nagar Police Station, Chennai.
3. The Superintendent of Prison,  
Central Prison, Puzhal.
4. The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court of Madras, Chennai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.3429 of 2023

**T.V. THAMILSELVI, J.**

rpp

**Crl.O.P.No. 3429 of 2023**

**27.02.2023**