



WEB COPY



W.P.No.19684 of 2013

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 03.08.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

W.P.No.19684 of 2013

Ponmani

...Petitioner

Vs.

1. Veluchamy,
The Deputy Tahsildar,
Special Scheme Implementation,
Ettayapuram Taluk Office,
Tuticorin Dt.

2. The District Collector,
Tuticorin District,
Tuticorin.

...Respondents

Prayer: Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking to issue a Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for th records pertaining to the impugned reply of the 2nd respondent passed in Ref.No.O.Mu.Aa.6/15758/2013, dated 26.04.2013 and quash the same and consequently directing the 2nd respondent to take necessary disciplinary proceedings for facing FIR with grave charges.

For Petitioner : No appearance

For Respondents : Mr.R.Velusamy



W.P.No.19684 of 2013

Additional Government Pleader

WEB COPY

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the order passed by the 2nd respondent thereby directing the petitioner to lodge complaint before the concerned jurisdictional Police Station.

2. The petitioner's husband was working in the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board. There was family dispute between the petitioner and her husband. Therefore, she lodged a complaint stating that her husband is facing trial for the offence under Section 498(A) and 506(ii) of IPC and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, in C.C.No.58 of 2011 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Ponneri. Once again, the petitioner lodged complaint before the 2nd respondent alleging that her husband is having illegal intimacy with other woman and also he has already retired from service and as such seeks appropriate action against him.

3. On perusal of the complaint lodged by the petitioner revealed that there are allegations as against her husband for his activities. Therefore, the 2nd



W.P.No.19684 of 2013

respondent rightly directed the petitioner to lodge complaint before the concerned jurisdictional Police Station. Hence, this Court finds no infirmity or illegality in the orders passed by the 2nd respondent and this writ petition is devoid of merits.

4. Accordingly, this writ petition stands dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

03.08.2023

Speaking/Non-Speaking
Index: Yes/No
ata

To

1. Veluchamy,
The Deputy Tahsildar,
Special Scheme Implementation,
Ettayapuram Taluk Office,
Tuticorin Dt.

2. The District Collector,
Tuticorin District,
Tuticorin.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.19684 of 2013

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN,J.

ata

W.P.No.19684 of 2013

03.08.2023