

Crl.O.P.No.2631 of 2023

T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 294(b), 352 of IPC, 1860 and Section 4 of the Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002 in Cr.No.312 of 2019 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that that there was some wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, due to which the petitioner abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and also assaulted him. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is a innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, due to which, a false complaint has been given against the petitioner. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that there was some wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, due to which the petitioner abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and also assaulted him . Hence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by learned counsel appearing for either side and the fact that the occurrence has taken place only to wordy quarrel, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the **District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Valangaiman** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned failing which, the petition



for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

WEB COPY

(a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police every Tuesday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of Eight weeks and thereafter, appear before the trial Court on all hearing dates.

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*; and;

(f)if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

08.02.2023

smn



WEB COPY



4

Crl.O.P.No.2631 of 2023

T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

smn

Crl.O.P.No.2631 of 2023

08.02.2023