



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2699 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 08.02.2023

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE T.V. THAMILSELVI

Crl.O.P.No.2699 of 2023

Gee Allis Prakash,
S/o.Subramani

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
M-5 Ennore Police Station,
Chennai-57.
(Crime No.765 of 2022)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 439 of Criminal Procedure Code to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.765 of 2022 pending on the file of respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.Charles Kamalesh M. Appaji

For Respondent : Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar,
Govt. Advocate (Crl.Side.)



Crl.O.P.No.2699 of 2023

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 03.01.2023 for the alleged offence under Sections 147, 148, 341, 294(B), 324, 307 and 506(ii) of I.P.C. in Crime No.765 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of prosecution is that on 31.12.2022, around 12.00 p.m., the petitioner along with other accused said to have picked up quarrel with son of defacto complainant, thereby they beated, fisted and attacked him with knife and beer bottles, due to which, his son sustained head injuries and he was admitted in the hospital for treatment. Hence, the complaint was registered against the petitioner.

3. The learned counsel appearing for petitioner submitted that the entire allegation is false, vindictive, wanton and he is no way connected with the offence. He would submit that he has not at all committed any of offence as alleged by the respondent police and due to previous enmity, he has been falsely implicated in this case and he will abide by any



Crl.O.P.No.2699 of 2023

WEB COPY

condition that may be imposed by this court. He would further submit that the investigation is almost completed and that the petitioner has been suffering incarceration for more than 34 days from 03.01.2023. He would submit that A4 to A8 already released on bail by the trial court. Hence, he prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for respondent would submit that totally, there are 8 accused involved in this case and this petitioner is arrayed as A3. He would submit that on the date of occurrence, the petitioner along with other accused picked up quarrel with the son of the defacto complainant, thereby they assaulted him, in which, he sustained head injuries and admitted in hospital for treatment and subsequently, he was discharged from hospital. He would submit that that if he is released on bail, he would tamper the witnesses and hamper the investigation and the investigation is almost completed. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the above facts and circumstances, and also considering the fact that the investigation almost completed and the fact



CrI.O.P.No.2699 of 2023

WEB COPY

that the injured discharged from the hospital and the fact that co-accused/A4 to A8 were already released on bail by the trial court and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each, **in which one surety must be a blood surety**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvottiyur, Chennai**, and on further conditions that:

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police on every Tuesday and Friday at 10.30 a.m. for period of three months;

(c) the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



Crl.O.P.No.2699 of 2023

WEB COPY

(e) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala*** [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

(g) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

08.02.2023

rpp

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Thiruvottiur, Chennai.
2. Inspector of Police,
M-5 Ennore Police Station,
Chennai.
3. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Puzhal.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras, Chennai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2699 of 2023

T.V. THAMILSELVI, J.

rpp

Crl.O.P.No. 2699 of 2023

08.02.2023