



Crl.O.P.No.2386 of 2023

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 02.02.2023

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE T.V. THAMILSELVI

Crl.O.P.No.2386 of 2023

Viji,
S/o.Gajendiran

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Sathiyamangalam Police Station,
Villupuram.
(Crime No.03 of 2022)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 439 of Criminal Procedure Code to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.03 of 2022 pending on the file of respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Senthilvel
For Respondent : Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar,
Govt. Advocate (Crl.Side.)



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2386 of 2023

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 24.12.2022 for the alleged offence under Sections 457 and 380 of I.P.C. in Crime No.03 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of prosecution is that on 07.01.2022, at about 03.30 a.m., the petitioner along with other accused said to have stolen the property belongs to the defacto complainant, which is worth about Rs.45,000/-. Hence, the complaint was registered against the petitioner.

3. The learned counsel appearing for petitioner submitted that the entire allegation is false, vindictive, wanton and he is no way connected with the offence. He would submit that he has not at all committed any of offence as alleged by the respondent police and due to previous enmity, he has been falsely implicated in this case and he will abide by any condition that may be imposed by this court. He would further submit that the investigation is almost completed and that the petitioner has been



Crl.O.P.No.2386 of 2023

WEB COPY

suffering incarceration for more than 39 days from 24.12.2022. Hence, he prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for respondent would submit that totally, there are 3 accused involved in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A3. He would submit that there are 8 previous cases pending against him. He would submit that on the date of occurrence, the petitioner along with other accused stolen the property belongs to the defacto complainant, which is worth about Rs.45,000/-. He would submit that that if he is released on bail, he would tamper the witnesses and hamper the investigation and the investigation is almost completed. He would submit that now the injured discharged from the hospital. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the above facts and circumstances, and also considering the fact that the investigation almost completed and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:



CrI.O.P.No.2386 of 2023

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is **directed to deposit a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand only) into the credit of Crime No.03 of 2022 before the concerned Magistrate from the date on which, the order is made ready and the defacto complainant is permitted to withdraw the said deposit amount on proper identification and acknowledgement** and on such deposit, ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each, **in which one surety must be a blood surety**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Gingee**, and on further conditions that:

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily twice in the morning at 10.30 a.m. and evening at 05.30 p.m. for period of two months ;

(c) the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature;



Crl.O.P.No.2386 of 2023

WEB COPY

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala* [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

(g) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

02.02.2023

rpp

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Gingee.

2. Inspector of Police,
Sathiyamangalam Police Station,
Villupuram.

3. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Villupuram.

4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras, Chennai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2386 of 2023

T.V. THAMILSELVI, J.

rpp

Crl.O.P.No. 2386 of 2023

02.02.2023