



W.P.No.48995 of 2006

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 18.10.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE Dr.D.NAGARJUN

W.P.No.48995 of 2006

Ananthanarayanan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Bank of India,
Rep.by The Chairman and Managing Director,
Bombay.

2. The Appellate Authority and
The Deputy Zonal Manager,
Bank Of India, Oppanakkara Street,
Coimbatore.

3. The Disciplinary Authority
and the Chief Manager,
Bank Of India, Oppanakkara Street,
Coimbatore.

4. The Enquiry Officer And
The Chief Manager,
Bank Of India,
Kurichi Industrial Estate Branch,
Sundarapuram Post,
Coimbatore.

... Respondents



W.P.No.48995 of 2006

Prayer:- Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the proceedings of the 3rd respondent herein Ref. No. ZO CBE: IR: 164, by order dated 30-12-2005 subsequently confirmed by the 2nd respondent herein in Ref.No. ZO:CBE:IR: 256 by order dated 22.3.2006 and quash the same and direct the respondents 1 to 3 here into reinstate the petitioner in service.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Karthik

For Respondents : Mr.M.R.Raghavan

ORDER

The petitioner has filed the writ of certiorarified mandamus, calling for the records relating to the proceedings of the 3rd respondent herein Ref. No. ZO CBE: IR: 164, by order dated 30-12-2005 subsequently confirmed by the 2nd respondent herein in Ref.No. ZO:CBE:IR: 256 by order dated 22.3.2006 and quash the same and direct the respondents 1 to 3 here into reinstate the petitioner in service.



W.P.No.48995 of 2006

2. When the matter was listed on 05.10.2023 and also subsequently on 11.10.2023, the counsel for the petitioner submitted that subsequent to filing of this writ petition in the year 2006, the writ petitioner has not contacted the office of the petitioner counsel and the petitioner counsel has made several attempts to contact him by sending letters to him which were returned with endorsements as “no such person”.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr.V.Karthik further submitted that even as on today, there are no instructions.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent would submit that the petitioner was working as a clerk in the first respondent Bank and he has committed the offence of forgery and thereby a detailed inquiry was conducted and he was ultimately removed from service. It is also submitted that whatever the benefits are required to be given to him has already been disbursed towards him.

5. Considering the submissions made by both sides, it is clear that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the case any further.



W.P.No.48995 of 2006

6. Accordingly, with these observations, the writ petition stands **dismissed** for non prosecution. No costs.

18.10.2023

Index : Yes
Speaking Order
Neutral Citation : Yes
(sha)

To

1. The Chairman and Managing Director,
The Bank of India,
Bombay.

2. The Appellate Authority and
The Deputy Zonal Manager,
Bank Of India, Oppanakkara Street,
Coimbatore.

3. The Disciplinary Authority
and the Chief Manager,
Bank Of India, Oppanakkara Street,
Coimbatore.

4. The Enquiry Officer And
The Chief Manager,
Bank Of India,
Kurichi Industrial Estate Branch,
Sundarapuram Post,
Coimbatore.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.48995 of 2006

Dr.D.NAGARJUN. J.,

(sha)

W.P.No.48995 of 2006

18.10.2023