



W.P.No.37746 of 2006

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10.02.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE J. SATHYA NARAYANA PRASAD

W.P.No.37746 of 2006

1. V. Bhaskaran
2. V.Ramaraj
3. V.Thiruvengadam
4. E.Gunasekaran
5. N.Arumugam
6. K.K.Prasad
7. K.Selvam
8. B.Ramesh
9. D.Fredrich
10. K.N.Santhosh Kumar
11. N. Bharathi
12. G.Gopal

... Petitioners

Vs.

1. The Central Government Labour Court cum
Industrial Tribunal,
Rep.by its Presiding Officer,
Shastri Bhavan, Haddows Road,
Chennai 600 006.
2. Airports Authority of India
rep.by its Deputy General Manager
(Personnel), Chennai Airport,
Meenambakkam,
Chennai 600 027.



W.P.No.37746 of 2006

3. Delite Engineers, Mumbai
Jolly Centre,
Tilak Road,
Santa Cruz(w)
Mumbai 400 054.

4. Premier Technics, Mumbai
31, Guru Govind Singh Industrial Estate,
Off West Express High way,
Goregaon (E)
Mumbai 400 063.

... Respondents

PRAYER : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, to issue Writ of Mandamus directing the 2nd, 3rd and 4th respondents to continue to engage the petitioners as Aerobridge Operators in the same manner as they did during the pendency of W.P.No.1676 of 1996 and I.D.No.381 of 2004 till the Award in I.D.No.381 of 2004 is published in the Central Government Gazette and 3 months thereafter to enable the petitioners to file a writ petition challenging the Award passed in I.D.No.381 of 2004 and getting suitable relief for their continuance and award costs.

For Petitioners : K. Balaji

For Respondents : Mr.R.Parthiban for R2
No appearance for R1

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed to direct the 2nd, 3rd and 4th respondents to continue to engage the petitioners as Aerobridge Operators in the same manner as they did during the pendency of W.P.No.1676 of 1996 and



W.P.No.37746 of 2006

WEB COPY

I.D.No.381 of 2004 till the Award in I.D.No.381 of 2004 is published in the Central Government Gazette and 3 months thereafter to enable the petitioners to file a writ petition challenging the Award passed in I.D.No.381 of 2004 and getting suitable relief for their continuance and award costs.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the second respondent/Airports Authority of India submitted that the writ petition was filed in regard to the appointment of Aerobridge operators and this Court granted interim order dated 21.09.2005 to go head with the selection process but subject to the outcome of the result of the I.D.No.381 of 2004. He further submitted that a docket order dated 26.09.2006 has been passed in I.D.No.381 of 2004 in favour of the second respondent/Airports Authority of India and therefore, nothing survives in this writ petition and this writ petition has become infructuous.

3. Recording the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the second respondent, this writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

10.02.2023
(3/3)

dpq
Index : Yes/No
Speaking Order : Yes/No



WEB COPY



W.P.No.37746 of 2006

J. SATHYA NARAYANA PRASAD, J.

dpq

To

1. The Deputy General Manager
Airports Authority of India
(Personnel), Chennai Airport,
Meenambakkam,
Chennai 600 027.
2. The Presiding Officer,
The Central Government Labour
Court cum Industrial Tribunal,
Shastri Bhavan, Haddows Road,
Chennai 600 006.

W.P.No.37746 of 2006
(3/3)

10.02.2023