



WEB COPY



A.No.671 of 2023

A.No.671 of 2023
in C.S.(Comm.Div.) No.234 of 2022

SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY, J.

By judgment and decree dated 02.12.2022, the suit was dismissed as settled out of Court. Consequently, the Court directed refund of court fees to the plaintiff. By this application, the plaintiff seeks the substitution of the name of the authorized representative of the plaintiff, Mr.Lucas Jayakumar, with the name of learned counsel for the plaintiff so as to enable learned counsel to receive the refund of court fees.

2. In paragraph 4 of the affidavit in support of this application, the authorized representative of the plaintiff has stated that the court fee was paid by learned counsel at the time of institution of the suit since the plaintiff is an entity incorporated in Singapore. Therefore, a request is made that the refund of court fee be made in favour of learned counsel.



WEB COPY



A.No.671 of 2023

SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY, J.

rna

3. Since the authorized representative of the plaintiff has stated categorically that the court fee was paid by learned counsel for and on behalf of the plaintiff, the Registry is directed to refund the court fee to Ms.Deepika Murali by crediting such refund to the bank account specified in Schedule-A to the affidavit.

4. The judgment dated 02.12.2022 shall be re-issued after substituting paragraph 9 thereof with the following paragraph:

"9. In view of the fact that the suit is dismissed as settled out of Court and the defendant has also not entered appearance, the plaintiff is entitled to refund of court fees in accordance with rules. Such refund shall be made by remittance into the bank account of Ms.Deepika Murali, Advocate."

08.02.2023

rna

A.No.671 of 2023

2/3



WEB COPY



A.No.671 of 2023

in C.S.(Comm.Div.) No.234 of 2022