



Crl.R.C.No.229 of 2023

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS**

**DATED: 17.03.2023**

**CORAM**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM**

**Crl.Rc.No.229 of 2023**

K.Bharat

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State,  
rep by the Inspector of Police,  
Karumathampatty Police Station,  
Coimbatore District.  
(Crime No.158 of 2022)

... Respondent

**PRAYER:** Criminal Revision Petition filed under Section 397 r/w 401 of Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 to set aside the order passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sulur in C.M.P.No.781 of 2022 dated 07.06.2022.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.N.Balakrishnan

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinothraja

Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

**ORDER**

This Criminal Revision Petition has been filed to set aside the order passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sulur in C.M.P.No.781 of 2022



Crl.R.C.No.229 of 2023

dated 07.06.2022.

2.It is the case of the prosecution that on 08.03.2022, at about 13.00 hours, upon routine check up, the respondent Police recovered tobacco products which were packed in polythene bags by printing the names as HANS, RMD Pan Masala and M. Scented Pan Masala and being transported illegally in an Baleno Car bearing registration no.TN-52-P-9866. Hence, a case in Crime No.158 of 2022 was registered by the respondent Police for the offences punishable under Sections 7(1), 20(2) of COTPA Act, 328 of Indian Penal Code and the vehicle was also seized.

3. It appears that the petitioner is the owner of the above said vehicle and he filed a petition in C.M.P.No.781 of 2022 before the Trial Court to release the vehicle to him. But it was dismissed, vide order dated 07.06.2022 by the Court below considering the nature of offences and the quantity of the banned tobacco products. Hence, challenging the above said order, the petitioner filed the present Revision case.



Crl.R.C.No.229 of 2023

WEB COPY

4.The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is not the accused in this case. He is the third party and owner of the vehicle namely Baleno Car bearing registration no.TN-52-P-9866. The respondent Police registered a case against the accused persons namely Balaram and Sarvanram in Crime No.158 of 2022 for the offences alleged under Sections 7(1), 20(2) of COTPA Act & 328 of Indian Penal Code. The petitioner is not having any knowledge about the accused persons and he is not involved in any previous offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that if the vehicle is kept for a long time in the open space, it would cause damage to the vehicle and its value gets diminished. He further submitted that the vehicle is not involved in any case similar in nature and the petitioner is ready to furnish appropriate guarantee as well as security for return of vehicle and also he will produce the vehicle, as and when required either before the respondent police or before the Trial Court. Hence, he would urge this Court to order return of the vehicle to the petitioner while setting aside the order passed by the Court below.



Crl.R.C.No.229 of 2023

WEB COPY

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that, the petitioner is the owner of the vehicle namely Baleno Car bearing registration no.TN-52-P-9866 and he is the third party. The petitioner is not involved in any previous cases and he is not the accused in the present case also. Since the above said vehicle was used to transport the Tobacco products illegally, it was seized and hence, he objected to return the vehicle to the petitioner.

6.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent and I have perused the materials on record.

7.A perusal of the records shows that, a case in Crime No.158 of 2022 was registered by the respondent Police against the accused for the offences under Sections 7(1), 20(2) of COTPA Act, 328 of Indian Penal Code, for illegal transportation of tobacco products which were packed in the polythene bags by printing the names as HANS, RMD Pan Masala and M.



Crl.R.C.No.229 of 2023

Scented Pan Masala in an Baleno Car bearing registration no.TN-52-P-9866, and the accused were arrested and the vehicle was seized. The petitioner is the owner of the above said vehicle and he filed a petition in C.M.P.No.781 of 2022 before the Trial Court to release the vehicle to him. The Trial Court dismissed the petition filed by the petitioner, on the ground that since the vehicle was found in transporting the Tobacco products, which are banned one and hence, the learned Judge refused to grant interim custody of the vehicle.

8. At this juncture, it is relevant to refer a decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***“Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai and others Vs. State of Gujarat in Special Lave Petition (Crl.)2745 of 2022 dated 01.10.2002,*** wherein, the relevant portion is extracted hereunder.

### ***Vehicles***

*17. In our view, whatever be the situation, it is of no use to keep such seized vehicles at the police stations for a long period. It is for the Magistrate to pass appropriate orders immediately by taking appropriate bond and guarantee as well as security for return of the said vehicles, if*



Crl.R.C.No.229 of 2023

*required at any point of time. This can be done pending hearing of applications for return of such vehicles.*

*18. In case where the vehicle is not claimed by the accused, owner, or the insurance company or by third person, then such vehicle may be ordered to be auctioned by the Court. If the said vehicle is insured with the insurance company, then insurance company be informed by the Court to take possession of the vehicle, which is not claimed by the owner or a third person. If insurance Company fails to take possession the vehicles may be sold as per the direction of the Court. The Court would pass such order within a period of six months from the date of production of the said vehicle before the Court. **In any case, before handing over possession of such vehicles, appropriate photographs of the said vehicle should be taken and detailed panchanama should be prepared.***

Therefore, as per the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court, this Court is of the view that, keeping the vehicle idle in the open space, will diminish its nature and lose its value and no purpose will be served. As such, considering the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, this Court is inclined to allow the Revision Petition.

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Revision Case is allowed and the impugned order dated 07.06.2022 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate,



Crl.R.C.No.229 of 2023

Sulur is set aside. The respondent police is directed to return the vehicle to the owner of the vehicle on the following conditions.

- i. the petitioner shall prove his ownership of the vehicle by producing the R.C.Book and other relevant records;
- ii. the petitioner shall not alienate or encumber the vehicle in any manner;
- iii. the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,00,000/- (Rupees Ten lakhs only) before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sulur.
- iv. the petitioner shall give an undertaking that he will not use the vehicle for any illegal activities in future,
- v. the petitioner shall take photograph of the vehicle; and
- vi. the petitioner shall also produce the vehicle as and when required before the court below and before the respondent police.

**17.03.2023**

Index: Yes/No  
Internet: Yes/No  
dn



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.229 of 2023

**To**

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Sulur.
2. The Inspector of Police,  
Karumathampatty Police Station,  
Coimbatore District.  
(Crime No.158 of 2022)
3. The Public Prosecutor, Madras High Court.



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.229 of 2023

**V.SIVAGNANAM, J.,**

dn

**Crl.RC No.229 of 2023**

**17.03.2023**