



WEB COPY



S.A.Nos.870 & 871 of 2009

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28.02.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE R. HEMALATHA

S.A.Nos.870 & 871 of 2009 &
M.P. Nos. 1 & 1 of 2009

P. Arumugham ... Appellant in both the S.As
..Vs..

1. The Tahsildar, Taluk Office
Arni.

2. The District Collector,
Tiruvannamalai District.
Tiruvannamalai

... Respondents both the S.As.

PRAYER in S.A. No.870 of 2009 : Second Appeal filed under Section 100 of C.P.C., against the decree and judgment dated 28.11.2008 in A.S.No.37 of 2008 on the file of the Subordinate Judge, Arni, dismissing the said appeal and completely setting aside the decree and judgment in O.S.No.544 of 2004 dated 05.02.2008 on the file of the District Munsif, Arni.

PRAYER in S.A. No.871 of 2009 : Second Appeal filed under Section 100 of C.P.C., against the decree and judgment dated 28.11.2008 in A.S.No.38 of 2008 on the file of the Subordinate Judge, Arni, allowing the said appeal and completely setting aside the decree and judgment in O.S.No.544 of 2004 dated 05.02.2008 on the file of the District Munsif, Arni.

For Appellants : No appearance (in both the S.As)

For Respondents : Mr. C. Sathish, Government Advocate.
(in both the S.As)



WEB COPY



S.A.Nos.870 & 871 of 2009

R. HEMALATHA, J.

bga

COMMON JUDGMENT

When the second appeals came up for hearing on 27.02.2023, there was no representation for the appellant and hence the Registry was directed to post these matters under the caption 'for dismissal' on 28.02.2023. Even today, i.e., 28.02.2023 there is no representation for the appellant. The learned counsel for the Respondents is present.

2. In view of the same, both the Second Appeals are dismissed for non prosecution. No costs. Consequently connected miscellaneous petitions are dismissed.

28.02.2023

Index : Yes/No

Internet : Yes/No

bga

To

1. The Subordinate Judge, Arni
2. The District Munsif, Arni.
3. The Section Officer, High Court, Madras

S.A.Nos.870 & 871 of 2009 &
M.P. Nos. 1 & 1 of 2009