



Crl.O.P.No.1477 of 2023

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 24.01.2023

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE T.V. THAMILSELVI

Crl.O.P.No.1477 of 2023

Ramesh @ Ramesh Kumar,
S/o.Subburaj

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
B-2 R.S.Puram Police Station,
Coimbatore Dt.
(Crime No.496 of 2022)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 439 of Criminal Procedure Code to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.496 of 2022 pending on the file of respondent police.

For Petitioner	: Mr.W.Camyles Gandhi
For Respondent	: Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar, Govt. Advocate (Crl.Side.)



CrI.O.P.No.1477 of 2023

ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 26.12.2022 for the alleged offence under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of I.P.C. and Sec.3(1) of TNPPDL Act in Crime No.496 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of prosecution is that on the date of occurrence, when the petitioner along with other accused came to the TASMACH shop and consuming liquor, at that time, there was a wordy quarrel between the defacto complainant and the petitioner along with other accused, thereby, they said to have abused defacto complainant and his friends in filthy language and also damaged chars worth about Rs.10,000/-. Hence, the complaint was registered against the petitioner.

3. The learned counsel appearing for petitioner submitted that the entire allegation is false, vindictive, wanton and he is no way connected with the offence. He would submit that he has not at all committed any of offence as alleged by the respondent police and due to previous enmity, he has been falsely implicated in this case and he will abide by any



Crl.O.P.No.1477 of 2023

WEB COPY

condition that may be imposed by this court. He would further submit that the investigation is almost completed and that the petitioner has been suffering incarceration for more than 29 days from 25.12.2022. Hence, he prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for respondent would submit that he is arrayed as A6. He would submit that when the defacto complainant going nearby the place of occurrence, the petitioner way laid him and at knife point snatched a sum of Rs.550/- and criminally intimidated him. He would submit that that if he is released on bail, he would tamper the witnesses and hamper the investigation and the investigation is almost completed. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the above facts and circumstances, and also considering the fact that the investigation almost completed and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:



Crl.O.P.No.1477 of 2023

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is **directed to deposit a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees five thousand only) into the credit of Crime No.496 of 2022 and the defacto complainant is permitted to withdraw the deposit amount** and on such deposit, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Coimbatore**, and on further conditions that:

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police on every Wednesday at 10.30 a.m. for period of eight weeks;

(c) the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.1477 of 2023

(e) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala*** [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

(g) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

24.01.2023

rpp

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-I,
Coimbatore.
2. Inspector of Police,
B-2 R.S.Puram Police Station,
Coimbatore Dt.
3. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Coimbatore.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras, Chennai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.1477 of 2023

T.V. THAMILSELVI, J.

rpp

Crl.O.P.No. 1477 of 2023

24.01.2023