



WEB COPY



Cont.P. No.309 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12.12.2023

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

Contempt Petition No.309 of 2023

1. Dr. D. Logeshkumar
2. Dr. J. Mathiazhagan
3. Dr. T. Kannapiran
4. Dr. A. Alwin Nishanth
5. Dr. P.Vignesh
6. Dr. S. Lakshmanan
7. Dr. V. Anbarasan
8. Dr. V. Kathirvel
9. Dr. V. Anuraj Kumar
10. Dr.J. Mahendran
11. Dr. K. Anbarasu
12. Dr. N. Padmanaban
13. Dr. C. Jothi
14. Dr. J.B. Simija

.. Petitioners

Versus

1. Mr. A. Karthik
The Secretary to the Government,
Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Services,
Secretariat,
Fort St. George,
Chennai – 600 009.

2. Mr.Gnanasekaran
The Director,
Directorate of Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Services
Anna Salai,
Nandanam,
Chennai – 600 035.



Cont.P. No.309 of 2023

3. Ms.P.Uma Mageswari

The Secretary,

Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission,

V.O.C. Nagar Park Town,

Chennai – 600 003.

.. Respondents

Prayer: Petition filed under Section 11 of Contempt of Court Act 1971 to punish the respondents for disobeying the Orders of this Court in W.P. No.32790 of 2022, dated 06.12.2022.

For Petitioners : Ms.K. Abhirame

For Respondents : Mr.P.Wilson
Senior Counsel
Assisted by
Mr.V.Ramesh
Government Advocate
for R1 and R2

Ms.G.Hema
for R3

ORDER

A rejection order has been passed by the respondents rejecting the candidature of the 5th petitioner. The same has been placed on record before this Court. The respondents are directed to furnish a copy of the same to the 5th petitioner and his counsel, within two days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order from today to enable the 5th petitioner



Cont.P. No.309 of 2023

to challenge the same in the manner known to the 5th petitioner under law.

2. Since a final order has been passed in compliance with directions given by this Court, which is the subject matter of this contempt petition, nothing survives for further adjudication in this contempt petition. Accordingly, this contempt petition is closed. However, liberty is granted to challenge the rejection order once it is received by the 5th petitioner as directed by this Court in the manner known to the 5th petitioner under law.

3. Insofar as the remaining petitioners are concerned, they have secured appointment orders pursuant to orders passed by this Court and therefore, the other petitioners are not pressing the contempt petition. The learned counsel for the petitioners has made an endorsement to that effect in the Court bundle.

4. After recording the aforesaid facts, this contempt petition is closed.

12.12.2023

Index: Yes/No
Speaking / Non speaking
vsi2



WEB COPY



Cont.P. No.309 of 2023

ABDUL QUDDHOSE, J.

vsi2

Contempt Petition No.309 of 2023

12.12.2023