



Crl.O.P.No.1441 of 2023

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 24.01.2023

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE T.V. THAMILSELVI

Crl.O.P.No.1441 of 2023

K.Saravanan,
S/o.Komarasamy

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Sankari Police Station,
Salem Dt.
(Crime No.463 of 2022)
Respondent

...

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 439 of Criminal Procedure Code to enlarge the petitioners on bail in Crime No.463 of 2022 pending on the file of respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.A.Saravanan
For Respondent : Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar,
Govt. Advocate (Crl.Side.)



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.1441 of 2023

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 21.11.2022 for the alleged offence under Section 302 I.P.C. in Crime No.463 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that one Dhanapal, now deceased is the son of defacto complainant and he fell in love with the petitioner's sister and they got married and they are having two children. Due to a family dispute between them, they were living separately. While being so, on 21.11.2022, deceased came to petitioner's house and abused him and his sister in filthy language and beaten her. Hence, there was a wordy quarrel between them, thereby, the petitioner said to have pushed the deceased and assaulted him with stone, due to which, he became unconscious and when he was taken to hospital, the doctor declared him as dead. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he has not participated in the crime, in fact, the deceased himself fell down since he was in drunken mood. He would submit that he did not touch the



Crl.O.P.No.1441 of 2023

WEB COPY

deceased at the time of occurrence and only due to sudden provocation, rather it is not intentionally happened. He would also submit that for the past 2 years, the petitioner's sister was in her parental house, so it is not possible to take RC book of deceased bike. So, it is a created story in the F.I.R. and in fact, when the deceased attacked his sister, the petitioner tried to stop the fight, during the said course, the occurrence happened. He would submit that there is no specific overtact attributed against the petitioner and he has not at all committed any offence as alleged by the respondent police. He would submit that he is no way connected with the occurrence and co-accused was released on bail by this court. He would further submit that the investigation is almost completed and that the petitioner has been suffering incarceration for more than 63 days from 21.11.2022. Hence, he prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for respondent would submit that on the date of occurrence, there was a quarrel between husband and wife, thereby, the petitioner and A2 have assaulted the deceased with hands and only at the instigation of A2, the petitioner assaulted him with stone, due to which he died on the way to



CrI.O.P.No.1441 of 2023

WEB COPY

hospital. He would also submit that if he is released on bail, he would tamper the witnesses and hamper the investigation and the investigation is at the preliminary stage and co-accused was released on bail by this court. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the above facts and circumstances, and also considering the fact that there is no previous case against the petitioner and co-accused was released on bail and also the fact that due to family dispute, there was a wordy quarrel, in which the alleged occurrence happened and considering the fact that the investigation almost completed and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each, **in which one surety must be a blood surety**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Sankari**, and on further conditions that:



CrI.O.P.No.1441 of 2023

WEB COPY

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police on every Wednesday at 10.30 a.m. for the period of eight weeks;

(c) the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as



CrI.O.P.No.1441 of 2023

WEB COPY

laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala* [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

(g) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

24.01.2023

rpp

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.1, Sankari.
2. Inspector of Police,
Sankari Police Station, Salem Dt.
3. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Salem.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras, Chennai.

T.V. THAMILSELVI, J.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.1441 of 2023

rpp

CrI.O.P.No. 1441 of 2023

24.01.2023