



1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 19.07.2023

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V. LAKSHMINARAYANAN

C.R.P(N.P.D).Nos.1927, 1928 and 1929 of 2004
and
C.M.P.Nos.14401, 14402 and 14403 of 2004

In C.R.P (NPD). No.1927 of 2004

1.Gandhimathi
2.United India Insurance Co.Ltd.,
95, Big Street, Tiruvannamalai. ...Petitioners

Vs.

1.Gandhi
2.Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation,
Dharmapuri. ...Respondents

Prayer:Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India to allow this Civil Revision Petition against the judgment and decree in M.C.O.P.No.472 of 1999 dated 31.12.2002 on the file of the Motor Accidents Claims Tribunal, Addl. Sub Court, Tiruvannamalai.



WEB COPY

In C.R.P (NPD). No.1928 of 2004

1.Gandhimathi
2.United India Insurance Co.Ltd.,
95, Big Street, Tiruvannamalai. ...Petitioners

Vs.

1.Saroja
2.Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation,
Dharmapuri. ...Respondents

Prayer:Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India to allow this Civil Revision Petition against the judgment and decree in M.C.O.P.No.470 of 1999 dated 31.12.2002 on the file of the Motor Accidents Claims Tribunal, Addl. Sub Court, Tiruvannamalai.



WEB COPY

In C.R.P (NPD). No.1929 of 2004

1.Gandhimathi
2.United India Insurance Co.Ltd.,
95, Big Street, Tiruvannamalai. ...Petitioners

Vs.

1.Janakiraman
2.Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation,
Dharmapuri. ...Respondents

Prayer:Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India to allow this Civil Revision Petition against the judgment and decree in M.C.O.P.No.470 of 1999 dated 31.12.2002 on the file of the Motor Accidents Claims Tribunal, Addl. Sub Court, Tiruvannamalai.

In all C.R.Ps.

For Petitioners : Ms.C.Harini
For Respondents :

COMMON ORDER



WEB COPY

These three Civil Revision Petitions, challenge the order of Motor Accidents Claims Tribunal in M.C.O.P.Nos.470, 471 and 472 of 1999. The Claims Tribunal had come to a conclusion that the 2nd Respondent/Managing Director, Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation, Dharmapuri as well as the 1st respondent vehicle are responsible for this accident.

2. The claim of the Civil Revision Petitioners are that the liability should have been fasten only on the 2nd respondent and not on the 1st petitioner. That is a factual finding which I do not want to interfere under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

3. Apart from that, the compensation that has been granted is less than Rs.5000/-. Therefore, I am not inclined to interfere with the revisions.

4. With the above, these Civil Revision Petitions stand dismissed. No costs. Connected Civil Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

19.07.2023

Index : Yes/No
Neutral Citation Case : Yes/No



V. LAKSHMINARAYANAN.J.,

nst

To:

The
Motor Accidents Claims Tribunal,
Addl. Sub Court,
Tiruvannamalai.

C.R.P(N.P.D).Nos.1927, 1928 and 1929 of 2004
and
C.M.P.Nos.14401, 14402 and 14403 of 2004



WEB COPY



19.07.2023