



Crl.O.P.No.1201 of 2023

Crl.O.P.No.1201 of 2023

T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 120(b), 406 and 420 of IPC, in Crime No.200 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant leased out his car to the petitioner. Subsequently, the lease amount was not paid by the petitioner and the possession of the car also not handed over to the defacto complainant. Hence, the case.

3. There was no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that the defacto complainant leased out his car to the petitioner. Subsequently, the lease amount was not paid by the petitioner. He further submitted that seven cars were recovered from the petitioner and one more car is yet to be recovered from the accused. However, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.1201 of 2023

T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

gbi

5. Considering the facts and circumstances and also the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side), this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

01.02.2023

gbi

Crl.O.P.No.1201 of 2023