



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 30.06.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE **MS.JUSTICE R.N.MANJULA**

C.S.Nos.43 to 46, 652, 667, 668 & 793 of 1999 and
C.S.Nos.11 and 12 of 2001

C.S.No.43 of 1999

Annie Edwin

... Plaintiff

Vs.

The Commissioner,
Corporation of Chennai,
Chennai – 3

... Defendant

Prayer: Complaint filed under Order VII Rule 1 of C.P.C., praying to pass a decree granting permanent injunction restraining the defendant in any way interfering with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the Hoarding in the suit property in any manner whatsoever and for costs in this suit.

For Plaintiff : No appearance

For Defendant : No appearance



COMMON JUDGMENT

WEB COPY

On 15.06.2023, when the matters were listed, no representation for the plaintiff. Hence the matters were ordered to be listed “for dismissal” on 26.06.2023.

2. Even today when the matters were taken up for hearing, there was no representation for the plaintiff in all the suits.

3. The suits are of the year 1999 and the same are pending without any progress. The suits have been filed seeking the reliefs of permanent injunction restraining the defendant Corporation from in any way interfering with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the hoardings installed in the suit property by the plaintiff. In view of the subsequent enactments made to regularise the hoardings under Act 26 of 2000, the suit has become infructuous.

4. The plaintiff in the suits also called absent and hence, the above suits are dismissed for default. No costs.

30.06.2023

Index : yes / no
Internet : yes / no
gsk



WEB COPY



R.N.MANJULA,J.

gsk

C.S.Nos.43 to 46, 652, 667, 668 & 793 of 1999 and
C.S.Nos.11 and 12 of 2001

30.06.2023