



WEB COPY



Rev.Aplw No.105 of 2021

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 21.03.2023

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M. DHANDAPANI

Rev.Aplw No.105 of 2021

in

W.P.No.16784 of 2019

G.Vijaya

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. Director of Technical Education,
Sardar Patel Road,
Guindy, Chennai – 600 025.
2. The Secretary,
Thegarajar Polytechnic College,
Suramangalam, Salem – 5.
3. The Principal,
Thegarajar Polytechnic College,
Sooramangalam, Salem – 5.
4. The Senior Manager, HR,
Sona College of Technology,
Salem – 636 005.

... Respondents

Prayer: Review Application filed under Order 47 Rule 1 of C.P.C. r/w Section 114 of C.P.C. to review the order passed in W.P.No.16784 of 2019 dated 30.09.2019 passed by this Court.



Rev.Aplw No.105 of 2021

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Subramanian
For Respondents 1 : Mr.E.Veda Bagath Singh
and 2 : Special Government Pleader

For R3 : Mr.R.Nalliyapan

For R4 : Mr.N.Premalatha

ORDER

The petitioner has filed this Review Application to review the order dated 30.09.2019 passed by this Court in W.P.No.16784 of 2019.

2. Learned counsel for the review petitioner submitted that the petitioner had filed a Writ petition in W.P.No.16784 of 2019, seeking to quash the letter dated 30.05.2019 issued by the fourth respondent and consequently to direct the respondents to continue to permit the petitioner to discharge her duties in the respondents 2 and 3 institution and this Court, vide order dated 30.09.2019 dismissed the said writ petition. Hence, the petitioner has come up with this Review application.

3. On the above said contentions, heard learned Special Government Pleader for the respondents and perused the materials placed before this Court.



Rev.Aplw No.105 of 2021

4. Review applications can be entertained only on the ground of error apparent on the face of records or clerical error. In the present case, learned counsel for the petitioner raised issue on the factual aspect which cannot be entertained by way of the present Review application and a new ground which has not been canvassed cannot be the basis for filing the review application. Hence, this Court is of the view that, the prayer sought for by the petitioner cannot be acceded to and is liable to be dismissed. Further, liberty is granted to the petitioner to prefer an appeal before this Court against the order dated 30.09.2019 in W.P.No.16784 of 2019 passed by this Court.

5. The review application is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

21.03.2023

Index : Yes / No
Speaking order / Nonspeaking order
Netrual Citation Case : Yes / No
(rap)



WEB COPY



Rev.Aplw No.105 of 2021

M.DHANDAPANI, J.

rap

To

1. Director of Technical Education,
Sardar Patel Road,
Guindy, Chennai – 600 025.
2. The Secretary,
Thegarajar Polytechnic College,
Suramangalam, Salem – 5.
3. The Principal,
Thegarajar Polytechnic College,
Sooramangalam, Salem – 5.
4. The Senior Manager, HR,
Sona College of Technology,
Salem – 636 005.

Rev.Aplw No.105 of 2021 in
W.P.No.16784 of 2019

21.03.2023