



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (ABA) NO.704 OF 2023

Amol Vinayakrao Ravankar

Vs.

State of Maharashtra, Through Police Station, Tamgaon, District Buldhana

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. R. M. Tiwari, Advocate for applicant.

Mr. V. A. Thakare, APP for respondent/State.

CORAM : URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE, J.

DATED : 21/12/2023

1. By this application, the applicant is seeking pre-arrest bail in connection with Crime No.264/2023, registered with Police Station, Tamgaon, District Buldhana for the offence punishable under Sections 143, 147, 353, 504 and 506 read with Section 149 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 135 of the Maharashtra Police Act.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant submitted that the crime is registered on the basis of report lodged by Block Development Officer namely Madhav Baburao Paidhan. On an allegation that present applicant along with the other co-accused were agitating for seeking the benefit under the Mahatma Gandhi Rashtriya Gramin Rojgar Hami Yojana. On 03.10.2023 when he was present in his cabin, the applicant along with the other co-accused entered in his cabin and caught hold him and

other co-accused abused him and manhandled him. On the basis of the said report, police have registered the crime against the present applicant as well as other co-accused. He submitted that as far as the allegation against the present applicant is concerned, which is general in nature. No specific role is attributed to him, his physical custody is not required and prays for grant of anticipatory bail.

3. Learned APP strongly opposed the application on the ground that this Court has already rejected the anticipatory bail application of co-accused Naresh Tayade and other co-accused have released on bail after their arrest. He submitted that present applicant along with the other co-accused in furtherance of their common intention caught hold the Block Development Officer who was discharging his public duty, considering the allegation the application deserves to be rejected.

4. Heard learned Counsel for the applicant and learned APP for the State. Perused the recitals of the FIR. As far as present applicant is concerned, general allegation is made against him. His physical custody is not at all required. In view of that, the application deserves to be allowed by imposing certain conditions. Accordingly, I proceed to pass following order.

ORDER

- (i) The application is allowed.

(ii) The applicant **Amol Vinayakrao Ravankar** be released on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No.264/2023, registered with Police Station, Tamgaon, District Buldhana for the offence punishable under Sections 143, 147, 353, 504 and 506 read with Section 149 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 135 of the Maharashtra Police Act, on executing PR bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one solvent surety in the like amount.

(iii) The applicant shall attend Police Station as and when required for the investigation purpose.

(iv) The applicant shall not induce, threat or promise any witnesses who are acquainted with the facts of the present case.

(URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE, J.)