

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CIVIL APPLICATION (CAO) NO. 29/2020 IN M.C.A. ST. 21051/2019
IN R.C. NO. 586/2019 (F.A. St. 4830/2019)

Deepa Wd/o Manoj Joshi And Others Vs Khetaram S/O Saluram And Others

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's Orders
or directions and Registrar's order

Court's or Judge's Order

Mr Suchak, advocate h/f Mr D.S.Khushalani, advocate for the appellants.
Ms Murnal Naik, advocate for the respondent No.3.

CORAM : URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE, J.
DATED : 12/04/2023.

1. The present application is filed for condonation of delay which is caused in filing the restoration application. As the appeal is dismissed for non-removal of the office objections in view of the order of the Registrar (Judicial).
2. As per the contention of the appellants/ applicants, the conditional order was passed by the Registrar (Judicial) was not noted inadvertently. Therefore, delay of 45 days is caused.
3. The said application is strongly opposed by the learned advocate for respondent No.3.
4. In view of the reasons mentioned in the application, there appears to be just and reasonable cause for the condonation of delay. Hence, the delay is condoned. Civil Application is disposed of.

Misc. Civil Application ST. No. 21051/2019

On removal of the office objections within two weeks, the appeal be restored at its original stage of registration.

On failure to remove the office objections within a period of two weeks, the earlier order passed by Registrar (J) will survive.

Misc Civil application is disposed of.

JUDGE