



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

Criminal Application [APPP] No.1616 of 2023

Vishal s/o Raju Parmar and others

vs.

The State of Maharashtra, through P.S.O., Police Station Butibori, District Nagpur

*Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.*

Court's or Judge's Orders

*Mr. Gurupreet Singh Chandak, Advocate for the Applicants.
Mr. A.M. Kadukar, A.P.P. for the Non-Applicant/State.*

CORAM : M.W. CHANDWANI, J.
DATE : 6th OCTOBER, 2023.

Heard.

02] The applicants seek correction in the order dated 29/09/2023 as well as in the prayer clause (i) of the Criminal Application No.857/2023.

03] According to the learned Counsel for the applicants, in paragraph 6 of the order dated 29/09/2023, accused Arjun Rajput is shown as absconding, infact he has been released on bail. Likewise, inadvertently in prayer clause (i) of the bail application, Crime No.569/2023 was wrongly typed as Crime No.569/2022 and subsequently the same appeared in the order.

04] Perusal of the bail order dated 23/08/2023 passed the Additional Sessions Judge, which is filed on record, goes to show that Arjun Shankarsingh Rajput has been released on bail.

05] In the light of above, in paragraph 6 of the order dated 29/09/2023, the word "absconding" be substituted with words

“released on bail”. Likewise, Crime No.569/2022 be corrected as Crime No.569/2023 appearing in prayer clause (i) of Criminal Application [BA] No.857/2023 and consequently in paragraph (1) and clause (ii) of the operative part of the order dated 29/09/2023.

06] Corrections be carried out forthwith. Corrected order be uploaded. The application is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

JUDGE

**sandesht*