

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION 3012 OF 2022

- Petitioners** : 1. Shekhar s/o Purushottam Kannao,
Aged about 69 Years,
Occupation : Retired & RTI Activist,
R/o Kannao Bhavan, Karanja, Tq. Karanja,
District Washim – 444 105.
2. Gopal s/o Vitthalrao Bhoyar,
Aged about 55 Years, Occupation : Representative
Daily Deshonnati and Social Worker,
R/o Near Old Bus Stand, Karanja (Lad),
Tahsil Karanja (Lad), District Wadhim – 444 105.
3. Sudhir s/o Arvind Deshpande,
Aged about 57 Years, Occupation : Representative
Daily Punyanagari and Social Worker,
R/o Near Shri Guru Mandir, Karanja (Lad),
Tahsil Karanja (Lad), District Wadhim – 444 105.
- Versus –
- Respondents** : 1. The State of Maharashtra, through its Secretary,
Urban Development Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai – 440 032.
2. The Divisional Commissioner and Regional Director,
Municipal Administration, Amravati Division,
Amravati, Tahsil & District Amravati.
3. The Regional Departmental Enquiry Officer,
Amravati Division (Quasi-Judicial Tribunal),
Office of the Divisional Commissioner, Amravati.
4. The Collector, Washim.
5. The Municipal Council, Karanja (Lad),
District Washim, through its Chief Officer.
6. Ms. Geeta Ramesh Wanjari,
Aged Major, Occupation : Assistant Commissioner,

Collector Office Campus, Amravati, Tahsil &
District Amravati.

Mr. R.M. Pande, Advocate for the Petitioners.
Mr. M.K. Pathan, A.G.P. for Respondents 1 to 4.
Mr. P.P. Deshmukh, Advocate for Respondent 5.
Mr. M.I. Dhatrak, Advocate for Respondent 6.

CORAM: **ROHIT B. DEO AND M.W. CHANDWANI, JJ.**

DATE : **20th JUNE, 2023.**

J U D G M E N T : *(Per Rohit B. Deo, J.)*

Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith. Heard finally by consent of the learned Counsel appearing for the parties.

02] The grievance of the petitioners is that although on the basis of the complaint lodged by the petitioners, Departmental Enquiry Case No.349 was initiated against respondent 6, the same is not reached its logical conclusion.

03] Learned A.G.P Mr. Pathan states on the basis of instructions that the enquiry is concluded in November, 2022 and is closed for report.

04] We direct that the report be submitted by respondent 3 - Enquiry Officer, if not already submitted, within the next six weeks. Rest of the prayers are rejected.

05] The writ petition is disposed of in the aforestated terms. Rule accordingly with no order as to costs.

**sandesh*

(M.W. CHANDWANI, J.)

(ROHIT B. DEO, J.)