

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

Writ Petition 5248 of 2022

Seva Siddhi Bahu-Uddeshiya Vikas Sanstha vs. State of Maharashtra and another

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders

Mr. Anand Parchure, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mrs. K.R. Deshpande, A.G.P. for the Respondents.

CORAM : ROHIT B. DEO AND M.W. CHANDWANI, JJ.

DATE : 13th JUNE, 2023.

The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that since respondent 2 did not find proper infrastructure, rejected the proposal of the petitioner, but according to him, there exists proper infrastructure. He submits that he may file fresh proposal with respondent 2 and respondent 2 may again reinspect the institution and pass proper order.

02] Considering the submissions, we find that the petitioner may file fresh proposal with respondent 2 and respondent 2, after considering the policy as mentioned in paragraph 8 of the affidavit of the respondents, may consider the proposal, if the petitioner otherwise complies all the conditions.

03] In view of this, the writ petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms with no order as to costs.

(M.W. Chandwani, J.)

(Rohit B. Deo, J.)

*sandesh