



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.**

WRIT PETITION NO. 4580 OF 2023

Smt. Smita Amit Rajput,
Aged about 38 years,
R/o Plot No.30, Near F.C.I. Go-down,
Old Ajni, Dhote Layout,
Nagpur – 440015.

.... **PETITIONER**

VERSUS

1) State of Maharashtra,
through Secretary, Urban Development,
Mantralaya, Mumbai – 32.

2) The Chairman,
Nagpur Improvement Trust,
Station Road, Sadar, Nagpur.

.... **RESPONDENTS**

Mr. P.S. Chawhan, Counsel for the petitioner,
Mr. A.A. Madiwale, A.G.P for respondent No.1,
Mr. G.A. Kunte, Counsel for respondent No.2.

CORAM : A.S. CHANDURKAR AND
MRS. VRUSHALI V. JOSHI, JJ.
DATED : 26th SEPTEMBER, 2023

ORAL JUDGMENT : (PER : A.S. CHANDURKAR, J.)

Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith. Heard finally with the consent of the learned Counsel for the parties.

2. The challenge raised is to the order dated 01-12-2022 issued by

the Building Engineer (West) of the Nagpur Improvement Trust thereby rejecting the building plan of the petitioner seeking development work in the context of Plot No. 51 admeasuring about 1453.14 square feet of Mouza-Somalwada.

3. After hearing the learned Counsel for the parties, we find that similar issue has been considered by this Court in Writ Petition No.8285/2022 (Kartiki d/o Jagdish Pawar and another vs. Nagpur Improvement Trust and another) decided on 14-2-2022. We find that the rejection of the building permit on similar ground was set aside in the light of discussion in paragraph Nos. 8 and 9 of the said judgment. The plot in question is already regularized by the Development Authority on 22-9-2005 and we find that the petitioner would be entitled to the benefit of aforesaid decision.

4. Accordingly for reasons recorded in the decision *Kartiki Jagdish Pawar* (supra), the order dated 01-12-2022 passed by the Building Engineer (West), Nagpur Improvement Trust is set aside. Respondent No.2 shall reconsider the said proposal and take a decision subject to all necessary considerations. The said decision shall be taken within a period of eight weeks of receiving copy of the judgment.

5. Rule is made absolute in aforesaid terms. No costs.

(Mrs. Vrushali V. Joshi, J.)

(A.S. Chandurkar, J.)

adgokar