

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 3718/2023

Ishwar Krupa Developers Pvt. Ltd. Vs. Mrs. Sadhana w/o Devendra Singh

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's orders
---	---------------------------

Mr. S.N. Bhattad, Advocate for petitioner
 Mr. D.M. Burde, Advocate for Respondent

Corrected as
 per order
 passed in
 MCA
 1085/2023
 dt. 3/5/2024

CORAM: AVINASH G. GHAROTE, J.

DATED : 4th OCTOBER, 2023

Mr. Burde, learned counsel for the respondent, upon instructions, makes a statement that the respondent will not insist for execution of the sale deed of the plot in question since even according to him this is not now possible for the reason that the authority of the petitioner has been revoked by the land owner in the year 2001 itself. The only relief, which is executable is the alternate relief granted by the learned State Consumer Redressal Commission, vide clause (i) of the operative order dated 19/9/2014 in Complaint No. 440/2008. In compliance with Clause (i) of the order dated 19/9/2014 an amount of Rs.99,000/- as per the pursis dated 4/3/2017 (page 31) already stands deposited by the petitioner with the District Commission. That, in my considered opinion takes

care of the grievance of the petitioner that the District Commission is insisting for the execution of sale deed. Insofar as the quantum of amount to be paid since there is a dispute, the same can be decided before the District Commission, Nagpur in appropriate proceedings. The petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms. No costs.

JUDGE

MP Deshpande