

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 4215/2022

Suresh s/o. Pundlik Gurnule Vs. The Government of Maharashtra and Ors.

.....
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's order

.....
Mr. S. M. Bahirwar, Advocate for Petitioner.
Ms K. Deshpande, A.G.P for Respondents 1 to 3/State.

CORAM : ROHIT B. DEO AND
MRS.VRUSHALI V. JOSHI, JJ.
DATED : 10.04.2023

. Heard

2. It is common ground that the petitioner has received the compensation as is prescribed in the Government Resolution dated 28.11.2016.

3. As a fact, we note that although the compensation was paid prior to the institution of the petition, there does not appear to be any specific averment to that effect in the petition. Be that as it may, we do not find that there is any surviving grievance.

4. The petition is disposed of.

(MRS.VRUSHALI V. JOSHI, J.)

(ROHIT B. DEO, J.)

RGurnule