

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.2334 OF 2014

Shashikant Madhavrao Mangale .Vs. The Member, Maharashtra Revenue Tribunal,
Nagpur Bench, Nagpur and others

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's Orders
or directions and Registrar's order

Court's or Judge's Order

Shri A.R. Ingole, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri D.P. Thakre, A.G.P. for the respondent Nos.1 to 3/State.

Shri A.A. Naik, Advocate for the respondent No.5.

CORAM : ANIL S. KILOR, J.

DATED : 27/03/2023

1. Heard.

2. On 20.03.2023, a detailed order was passed recording displeasure for repeatedly asking for time by the petitioner and therefore, even the costs of Rs.15,000/- was imposed while granting adjournment. Despite the same, today again the adjournment is sought on the same ground on which on earlier three occasions, the time was sought.

3. It was made clear in the order dated 20.03.2023 that, in case of failure to argue the matter on next date by the petitioner, this Court may dismiss the matter for want of prosecution. This was recorded considering that the present matter is pending for admission from last nine years i.e. from 2014.

4. Thus, looking at the conduct of the petitioner and also considering the fact that earlier also this matter was dismissed in default and restored, the writ petition is **dismissed** for want of prosecution.

5. It is made clear that if any application is moved for restoration, the same shall not be accepted unless the petitioner produces a receipt of payment of costs of Rs.20,000/- to the High Court Legal Services Sub-Committee, Nagpur.

JUDGE