

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

CIVIL APPLICATION (W) NO. 869/2023

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 3778/2010

Shekhar s/o. Purushottam Kannao and Ors.

Vs.

New India Assurance Company Limited and Ors.

.....
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's order

.....
Mr. R. D. Dharmadhikari, Advocate with Mr. R. M. Pande, Advocate for
Petitioners.

Mr. B. P. Bhatt, Advocate for Respondents 1 and 2.

Mr. S. D. Chopde, Advocate for Applicants/Intervenor.

**CORAM : ROHIT B. DEO AND
 MRS.VRUSHALI V. JOSHI, JJ.**

DATED : 13.04.2023

. Heard

2. The intervenors claim that they are similarly
situated as the petitioners.

3. If that be so, and if the intervenors are of the
view, that they are also entitled to the relief which the
petitioners are claiming, the intervenors are at liberty to
file independent and substantive petition inasmuch as
the aspect of delay, fence-sitting etc. may possibly be an
issue which will have to be addressed.

4. The application is dismissed.

WRIT PETITION NO. 3778/2010

. Let the petition be listed after vacation.

(MRS.VRUSHALI V. JOSHI, J.)

(ROHIT B. DEO, J.)

RGurnule