

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR**

**Misc. Civil Application No.125 of 2023
In Writ Petition No.5878 of 2022 (D)**

Mr. UDAY PAKASH PANDE AND OTHERS VS
THE TAHSILDAR, AKOLA AND OTHERS

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's Orders
or directions and Registrar's order

Court's or Judge's Order

Shri J.H. Mahajan, Advocate for the Petitioners

**CORAM : ANIL S. KILOR, J.
DATED : 06.02.2023**

1. Heard.
2. The review petition has been filed saying that the writ petition was disposed of on the ground of alternate remedy under the Maharashtra Land Revenue Code 1966 (in short the MLR Code"). It is submitted that the order of the Tahsildar dated 28.04.2022 passed under the provisions of MLR Code, is wrong as the Tahsildar is not complying with the order of the Maharashtra Land Revenue Tribunal and therefore, the only remedy is by way of writ petition.

3. The prayer clause (1) of the writ petition reads thus:

*"1. That, record of order no. Ramakr/
R.T.S.-59/KAULKHED/08/2021-22 passed on 28/04/2022
and delivered on 17/08/2022 by the learned Tahsildar &
Agriculture Land Tribunal, Akola may please be called and
the same be quashed;"*

4. Admittedly, the grievance before the Tahsildar is in respect of mutation entry and the order passed by the Tahsildar was under the MLR Code. As far as submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners that the Tahsildar has not complied with the order of the Maharashtra Land Revenue Tribunal, is concerned, for the said purpose the remedy is different.

5. Accordingly, I do not find any merit in the review application, accordingly, the review application is **dismissed**.

[ANIL S. KILOR, J.]