

Prajakta/Vidya

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL NO. 83 OF 2018

Jayesh Sanghrajka, Resolution Professional
for Nirmal Lifestyle Realty Pvt. Ltd.

..Appellant

Vs.

The Commissioner of Customs and Excise, Mumbai-III ..Respondent

None for the Appellant.

Mr. Ram Ochani for Respondent.

CORAM : G. S. KULKARNI &
RAJESH S. PATIL, JJ.

DATE : JUNE 08, 2023

P.C.:

1. None appeared for the appellant when the matter was called out.

Hence, the matter was kept back. If the appellant on the second call is not represented, it would be required to be presumed that the appellant is not interested to prosecute this appeal and the appeal would be required to be dismissed for want of prosecution.

2. Even in the second session when the matter is called out, none appears for the appellant. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

[RAJESH S. PATIL, J.]

[G. S. KULKARNI, J.]