IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.1192 OF 2018

Pr.Commissioner of Income Tax-2 ….. Appellant

Vs.

Dena Bank ….. Respondent

Mr.Suresh Kumar for the appellant
Mr.Atul K. Jasani a/w Ms.Arati Vissanji /b Mr.Sudhir Mehta for respondent

WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.1683 OF 2018

Pr.Commissioner of Income Tax-2 ….. Appellant

Vs.

Central Bank of India ….. Respondent

Mr.Suresh Kumar for the appellant
Mr.Tejas Shah for respondent

WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.1891 OF 2018

Pr.Commissioner of Income Tax-2 ….. Appellant

Vs.

Bank of India ….. Respondent

Mr.Suresh Kumar for the appellant
Mr.Atul K. Jasani for respondent

WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.2040 OF 2019

Pr.Commissioner of Income Tax-2 ….. Appellant

Vs.

Bank of India ….. Respondent

Mr.Suresh Kumar for the appellant
Mr.Subhash Shetty for respondent

WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.676 OF 2020

Pr.Commissioner of Income Tax-2 ….. Appellant

Vs.

Bank of India ….. Respondent

Mr.Suresh Kumar for the appellant
Mr.Subhash Shetty for respondent

CORAM: K.R. SHRIRAM, J &
FIRDOSH P. POONIWALLA, J.

DATED : 21st JULY 2023

P.C.

. Mr.Suresh Kumar in fairness states that questions of law as framed in these five Appeals are squarely covered by a judgment of this Court in ***Commissioner of Income Tax-LTU v/s. Union Bank of India***[1].

2. Mr.Suresh Kumar states that number of identical matters have also been disposed subsequently relying on this judgment.

3. In these circumstances, five Appeals mentioned above are disposed.

(FIRDOSH P.POONIWALLA, J.) **(K.R. SHRIRAM, J.)**

1 [2019] 105 taxmann.com 253 (Bombay)