

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 33 OF 2022

Shekhar Narsyya Bitla ... Petitioner

versus

The Municipal Corporation of Greater
Bombay and Others ... Respondents

.....

Mr. Taher Rangwala i/b. Elixir Legal Services for the Petitioner.

Ms.Oorja Dhond i/b. Mr.S.K.Sonawane for Respondent Nos. 1, 3
and 5.

Ms. Manisha Jagtap for Respondent No.2.

Mr.Arshad Shaikh, Senior Advocate with Mr.Sagar Pillai i/b.
Dwarkadas & Partners for Respondent Nos. 6 and 7.

.....

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR, ACJ &
SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.**

DATE : 7 JUNE 2023

P.C.:

Heard the learned Counsel for the parties.

2. Perused the earlier order dated 21 March 2023 adjourning the Public Interest Litigation (PIL) by way of last chance for the Petitioner to file an additional affidavit. This order was passed because in the earlier order, the Court had expressed doubt regarding

bona fides of the Petitioner. No affidavit is filed. The learned Senior Advocate for Respondent Nos. 6 and 7 opposes to grant any further time contending that the PIL is motivated.

3. Except stating that the Petitioner is a social worker, nothing is stated about the Petitioner. We are not at all convinced about bona fides of the Petitioner in filing this PIL.

4. Keeping the cause open, as and when it is brought before us by a person with unquestionable bona fides within reasonable time after following the Rules, subject to the objection of the Respondents, we dispose of the PIL.

(SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.)

(ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)