

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO.25 OF 2013

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.639 OF 2012

Fatmabai Binte Nakhuda Mohammed Ameen

Rogay Religious and Charitable Trust and Ors.

.. Petitioners

Vs.

Municipal Corporation of Greater Bombay and Anr.

.. Respondents

Mr. G.R. Mishra for the Petitioners.

Mr. Kunal Waghmare, i/by Mr. Sunil K. Sonawane, for the Respondent-MCGM.

Mr. Ananta Tayde, Asst. Engineer (I/c.), Water Works, "C" Ward, MCGM, is present.

CORAM : SUNIL B. SHUKRE & RAJESH S. PATIL, JJ

DATE : 9TH AUGUST, 2023.

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the respective parties.
2. The apprehension of the petitioners is that although the water supply connection has been restored, in future, the respondent-Municipal Corporation may take action against the petitioners in pursuance of the notice impugned in the writ petition dated 13th October 2011 and, therefore, the learned counsel for the review petitioners submits that it is necessary to recall the order dated 18th March 2013, so that the prayer made with regard to the impugned notice would be appropriately considered by this court.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent-Municipal Corporation, on instructions of the officer Mr. Ananta Tayde, Asst. Engineer (I/c.), Water Works, "C" Ward, MCGM, who is personally present in the court, states that the Municipal Corporation has no proposal to take any action against the petitioners in pursuance of the said notice as the water supply connection is already restored.

4. In view of the above, we find that there is no reason for the petitioners to nurture the apprehension, as expressed before us, any more and this should suffice the purpose of the petition. Review Petition is disposed of accordingly.

[RAJESH S. PATIL, J.]

[SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J.]