

Prajakta Vartak

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 2097 OF 2022

Agarwal Fabtex Pvt. Ltd. & Ors. ..Petitioners
Versus
Union of India & Anr. ..Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1384 OF 2021**

M/s. Rayontex Yarn Traders Pvt. Ltd. & Ors. ..Petitioners
Versus
Union of India & Ors. ..Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 3798 OF 2021**

Nayna Sarees & Ors. ..Petitioners
Versus
Union of India & Ors. ..Respondents

Mr. Naresh Jain with Ms. Neha Anchlia and Mr. Anand Kanse for
Petitioner.
Mrs. Shehnaz Bharucha for Respondent No.1.
Mr. M. P. Sharma with Ms. Sangeeta Yadav and Mr. Umesh Gupta for
Respondent No.2.

**CORAM : G. S. KULKARNI &
JITENDRA JAIN, JJ.
DATE : NOVEMBER 28, 2023.**

P.C.:

1. We have extensively heard learned counsel for the petitioners on
these petitions. We are not at all satisfied with the frame of the petitions,

so as to entertain the challenge of the petitioners and the prayers they have made. We see that Writ Petition No. 2097 of 2022 has 48 petitioners. There are no pleadings on the fact as to how the petitioners are aggrieved. Similar is the position in Writ Petition No. 3798 of 2021 where, there are 171 petitioners as also in Writ Petition No.1384 of 2021 where, there are 6 petitioners.

2. We are of the clear opinion that if the individual petitioners are aggrieved, they need to file appropriate petitions. We are accordingly not in a position to entertain these petitions.

3. We dispose of these petitions with liberty to the petitioners to take recourse to an appropriate remedy, as may be available in law.

4. All contentions of the parties are expressly kept open.

[JITENDRA JAIN, J.]

[G. S. KULKARNI, J.]