

Vidya Amin

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 556 OF 2023

Amrut Developers & Anr.

... Petitioners

Versus

Mumbai Corporation of Greater Mumbai & Ors.

... Respondents

Ms. Bhagyashri Gawas i/b. C.K. Tripathi for the petitioners.

Ms. Pooja Yadav i/b. Mr. Sunil Sonawane for MCGM.

CORAM: G. S. KULKARNI
& R.N. LADDHA, JJ.
DATED: 3 May, 2023

P.C.

1. We have heard Mr. Gawas, learned counsel for the petitioners and Ms. Yadav, learned counsel for the respondent/MCGM.
2. Ms. Yadav, learned counsel for the Corporation, on instructions from Mr. Bhupesh Rane, Assistant Engineer, K/East Ward, who is present in the Court, informs that already 3 PAPs have been allotted to the petitioners. She further states that the issue in regard to the TDR/monetary compensation is pending consideration of the Municipal Corporation and a decision in that regard shall be taken and informed to the petitioners.
3. Let an appropriate decision in that regard be taken within a period of four weeks from today, after hearing the petitioner.

4. We, accordingly, dispose of the petition keeping open all contentions of the parties with liberty to the petitioners to file a fresh petition or appropriate proceedings as and when need so arises.

5. Disposed of in above terms. No costs.

(R.N. LADDHA, J.)

(G. S. KULKARNI, J.)