

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.13842 OF 2023

M/s.Vidya Prasarak Mandal and Anr. ... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ... Respondents

Mr.Ram S. Apte, Senior Advocate i/b. Mr.M.J. Bhatt, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr.Rui Rodrigues, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

Mr.B.V. Samant, Addl.GP a/w. Mr.S.H. Kankal, AGP for Respondent – State.

CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR AND
MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, JJ.

DATE : 10 NOVEMBER 2023.

P.C. :

This matter has been adjourned at least for three occasions keeping it in the morning session and thereafter in the afternoon session for a simple instructions to be given to the office of the Government Pleader as to within how much time the Petitioners' applications/representations would be decided. This being the only prayer sought for by the Petitioners. Before we made the Respondents bound by a timeline, we had given adequate opportunity to the Respondents to state the timeline depending on workload before it. Since no response is given by the concerned officers of the State to the office of Government Pleader, we are

constrained to set a timeline by order of the Court and make the Respondents bound by it.

2 Accordingly, we direct the Petitioners applications/ representations be decided within a period of four weeks from today. We place the responsibility of complying with the said timeline on the Secretary of the Higher and Technical Education, who will ensure that the directions adhered to.

3 Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of.

4 We make it clear that this directions would apply if the representations/applications are pending and not already decided.

(MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)