

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 4116 OF 2022
IN
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 4533 OF 2018**

Malti G. Yeshirao

..Applicant

Versus

Mahendra Rajaram Pawar & Ors.

..Respondents

Ms. Medha Jondhale a/w. Anand Jondhale a/w. Rajnandini Jondhale a/w. Harshvardhan Shinde a/w. Upendra Pandey i/b. Jondhale and Co. for Applicant.

Mr. M. G. Patil, APP for State/Respondent No.1.

CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.

DATE : 7 JUNE 2023

PC :

1. This application is filed with following prayers:

“(a) It is humble prayed that it is necessary that such kind of Criminals must not escape from the clutches of law who has conspired to misled the administration of the Honorable Court in the administration of Justice third attempt/third time to dismiss case against them and it is humble prayed to take a strong suomoto action against Petitioners/Accused 1 to 5 under appropriate section of I.P.C. and direct them to pay compensation of Rs.7,00,000/- for financially and mentally since 12 years, in interest of justice.

(b) It is humble prayed to this Honorable Court to direct the Registrar of Bombay High Court to file

an F.I.R. against petitioners 1 to 5, U/s. 340 of Cr.p.c. for committing offences under section 193, 196, 198, 199, 200, 209 of I.P.C. for presenting false evidence, knowing well and believing to be false to this Honorable Court Third time, to quash 3 Judgments to dismiss case against them in interest of justice.

- (c) Any other relief which this Honorable Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case in interest of justice.”

2. This application is filed in the main Criminal Writ Petition No. 4533 of 2018 which is preferred by the original accused for quashing of the proceeding initiated by the applicant. The applicant, in this application, at the highest can pray for intervention in the W.P.No.4533 of 2018. The reliefs claimed in this particular application are beyond the scope of intervention in the main petition. Therefore, I am not inclined to grant the relief asked for in the present form; as mentioned in the prayer clause of this application. It is not possible to entertain this application.

3. The Application is dismissed.

4. If the Applicant has any real cause for seeking the relief in the nature of prayers made in this application, it is open for the

Applicant to adopt appropriate separate proceedings in accordance with law.

5. With these observations, the Interim application is disposed of.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)