

Pdp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 443 OF 2018

Mr. Uday Vasudeo Mukadam & Ors. .. Petitioners

Versus

Sub Divisional Officer
Alibag Raigad & Ors. .. Respondents

Mr. Rohit D. Joshi for Petitioners.

CORAM: SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.

DATE: 16 JUNE 2023

P.C.:

1. In this Petition, the Petitioners challenge the judgment and order dated 30 July 2016 passed by the District Judge 2, Raigad, Alibag in Civil Misc. Appeal No. 98 of 2007. In the said Appeal, the Petitioners had challenged the order dated 28 November 2007 passed by the Trial Court rejecting application for temporary injunction.

2. The present Petition is pending since the year 2016. Considering the fact that the application for grant of temporary injunction was rejected by the Trial Court on 28 November 2007 and that there has been no injunction in favour of the Petitioners during last 16 long years, no purpose would be served by deciding the merits of the present Petition.

3. Mr. Joshi, learned counsel for the Petitioners, submits that the

Suit is pending at the stage of evidence and requests for a direction to the Trial Court for early disposal of the Suit. I am not aware about the pendency of the cases before the Trial Court and, therefore, it would not be possible to direct expeditious hearing of the Suit. Considering the fact that the Suit has been pending since the year 2007, I am sure that the Trial Court would give due priority for disposal of the Suit considering the pendency of cases before it.

4. With the above observations, the writ petition is disposed of.

(SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.)