

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.626 OF 2014
IN
SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.31909 OF 2013**

Nitin Sitaram Patil and Ors. ...Applicants

Versus

Ratnakar Kashinath Chandorkar and
Ors. ...Respondents

...

Mr. Suresh Sabrad for the Appellant/Applicants in SAST/31909/2013, IA/17267/2023 and IA/626/2014.

Mr. Vikram Walawalkar for Respondent No.1 in SAST/31909/2013

Mr. Nitin Sitaram Patil Appellant No.1 in SAST/31909/2013, present.

Mr. Dilip Pandharinath Navale, Appellant No.2b in SAST/31909/2013, present.

Terrence Joseph Coutinho, PoA of Appellant Nos.3 and 4 in SAST/31909/2013, present

Mr. Ratnakar Kashinath Chandorkar, Respondent No.1 in SAST/31909/2013, present.

Mr. Sunil Ratnakar Chandorkar, son of Ratnakar Chondarkar, present.

CORAM: SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.

DATED: 10th NOVEMBER, 2023.

P. C. :-

1. Not on board. Upon being mentioned, taken on board.
2. Learned counsel for the Applicant states that the Appellant and Respondent No.1, who was the original Plaintiff have resolved the dispute amicably. He seeks leave to delete the names of Respondent

Nos.2 to 15. He states that the consent terms between the Applicants/Appellants and Respondent No.1 shall not affect the rights, title or interest of the Respondent Nos.2 to 15. In the light of the said statement, the Applicants are permitted to delete the names of Respondent Nos.2 to 15. Cause title to be amended forthwith.

3. By this application, the Applicants have sought to condone the delay of 230 days in filing the appeal. Learned counsel for Respondent No.1 has no objection to condone the delay. Hence, the application is allowed. The delay is condoned. The appeal is ordered to be registered.

4. The application stands disposed of.

(SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.)