

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 947 OF 2022

Kavita Dhiraj Bhagwane

... Petitioner

vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

... Respondents

.....

Mr.Vijay Jha i/b. Law Juris for the Petitioner.

Ms. Shruti D. Vyas, 'B' Panel Counsel for the State.

Mr.Sheroy M. Bodhanwalla i/b. M.S.Bodhanwalla and Co.
Advocates and Solicitors for Respondent No.2.

.....

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR &
ABHAY AHUJA, JJ.**

DATE : 28 MARCH 2023

P.C. :-

Heard the learned Counsel for the parties.

2. By this petition, the Petitioner has sought a direction to Respondent No.2 to give details of her bank account.

3. The learned Counsel for Respondent No.2 states that as against action taken by Respondent No.2 under Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 against the Petitioner, the Petitioner has already

filed an application under section 17 of the SARFAESI Act of 2002 before the Debt Recovery Tribunal.

4. Since the parties are already before the DRT and reliefs sought for by the Petitioner as pointed out by the learned Counsel for Respondent No.2 can be sought from the DRT, we are not inclined to entertain the writ petition.

5. Keeping all contentions of the parties open, the writ petition is disposed of.

ABHAY AHUJA, J.

NITIN JAMDAR, J.