

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1293 OF 2019

Sonal Dayaram Patel

...Appellant

vs.

The Union Territory of Dadra
and Nagar Haveli, Silvassa and Anr.

...Respondents

Mr. V. V. Pethe - Advocate for the Appellant

Mr. N. B. Patil - APP for the Respondent-State

Aayush Kedia h/f H. S. Venegavkar – Advocate for the Respondent
No. 1.

Kamlesh Mishra – Advocate for the Respondent No. 2

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 08th FEBRUARY, 2023

P. C. :-

1. On 18/01/2023, learned Advocate for the Applicant was already discharged. He was asked again to intimate his client that the Court may dismiss the appeal. Accordingly, while letter dated 19/01/2023, he has already informed to his client. Copy of the track consignment report also says that it is served. It is taken on record and marked as 'Annexure-X'.

2. Still the Appellant has not attended. It seems that she has lost the interest. The appeal is preferred against the judgment of

acquittal for the offence punishable under Sections 354A, 323, 504, 506 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 8 of the POCSO Act. Even the Union Territory has not preferred the appeal.

3. Hence appeal is dismissed for non prosecution.

[S. M. MODAK, J.]